From: Wahlman, Jason <jason.wahlman@mercer.com>

Sent: Wednesday, February 2, 2022 2:03 PM

To: Smith, Rick
Cc: Brown, Holly M

Subject: RE: [External] AWC admin fee development

Hi Rick,

We are currently finalizing these four items and will send over later today. We will send the updated report next week.

Thanks, Jason

From: Smith, Rick <

Sent: Wednesday, February 2, 2022 12:44 PM

To: Wahlman, Jason <jason.wahlman@mercer.com>
Cc: Brown, Holly M <holly.brown@mercer.com>
Subject: RE: [External] AWC admin fee development

CAUTION: This email originated outside the company. Do not click links or open attachments unless you are expecting them from the sender.

Just checking in on when to expect this. We are urgently looking for the highlighted. Thanks

From: Wahlman, Jason < <u>jason.wahlman@mercer.com</u>>

Sent: Monday, January 31, 2022 5:58 PM

To: Smith, Rick >

Cc: Brown, Holly M < holly.brown@mercer.com > Subject: RE: [External] AWC admin fee development

Rick,

Thank you for your response. We have a few questions/comments for you before we finalize everything:

- 1. The current PDS selected fee for Supports Broker is \$6.39 at the 50th percentile. Adjusting this to the 75th percentile results in a PDS selected fee of \$8.27.
 - a. Please confirm Supports Broker fee selection update from 50th to 75th percentile is for PDS only (and does not apply to the selected fee for agency Supports Broker services). ODP's fee selection for the agency service was at the 25th percentile (i.e., \$18.02).
- 2. Note that increasing the selected fee for PDS Supports Broker affects the upper bound wage for the VF/EA and AWC wage ranges, increasing it to \$25.09.
- 3. For the AWC admin fee, using a 100% FT assumption results in a 25th percentile fee of \$301.30 and a 30th percentile fee of \$311.68. The fee ODP selected initially is \$285.28. Based on the 100% FT assumption, does ODP want to use the 25th or 30th percentile fee?
- 4. After finalizing the changes, Mercer will update several deliverables, including:
 - PDS assumption log
 - PDS fee range exhibit
 - Fiscal impact analysis
 - VF/EA and AWC wage range exhibit
 - ID/A report

Thanks, Jason

From: Smith, Rick > Sent: Monday, January 31, 2022 8:51 AM

To: Wahlman, Jason < <u>jason.wahlman@mercer.com</u>>
Cc: Brown, Holly M < <u>holly.brown@mercer.com</u>>
Subject: RE: [External] AWC admin fee development

CAUTION: This email originated outside the company. Do not click links or open attachments unless you are expecting them from the sender.

Thanks. We understand and are good with just the FT/PT adjustment to 100% FT, so we can finalize those. Also, we would like to make two adjustments to rates...AWC Admin Fee to 30th percentile (from 25th) and Supports Broker to 75th percentile (from 50th). Could you incorporate those changes into the fiscal analysis and wage ranges and finalize also? Almost done!

From: Wahlman, Jason < <u>jason.wahlman@mercer.com</u>>

Sent: Friday, January 28, 2022 3:45 PM

To: Smith, Rick

Cc: Ahrens, Kristin >; Brown, Holly M <holly.brown@mercer.com>

Subject: [External] AWC admin fee development

ATTENTION: This email message is from an external sender. Do not open links or attachments from unknown sources. To report suspicious email, forward the message as an attachment to <u>CWOPA_SPAM@pa.gov</u>.

Rick,

Per our conversation on Tuesday, we did some additional research on the AWC admin fee development and want to share our thoughts with you in order to determine whether a revised fee range is necessary:

- Training costs: The training costs included in the AWC admin fee are for required trainings (e.g., CPR) that the AWC provides to the SSWs. These costs are included in the AWC admin fee because the AWC is paying the cost of these training days for the SSWs. This is distinct from the three training days for new hires we included in the PDS fee development.
- 2. Paid time off: PTO was intentionally excluded from the AWC admin fee because it is a PMPM-based service rather than a time-based service. The thought process behind this is that the other AWC team staff will cover the responsibilities of the team member on PTO, which would not result in a loss of billing to Medicaid for reimbursement. This is different from a direct care worker, who needs to be replaced temporarily when on PTO, which incurs additional costs for the provider.
- 3. FT/PT split: A 100% FT assumption is reasonable given the type of staff employed by the AWC entity. Changing the FT assumption from 30% to 100% increases the admin fee PMPM by approximately \$15 at the lower bound and \$20 at the upper bound. However, the increased fee range still captures the FY 2021-2022 AWC admin fee previously selected by ODP (i.e., \$285.28).

Based on this information and that we are suggesting only a change to the FT/PT split assumption, let us know if you would like Mercer to calculate a revised fee range for the AWC admin fee.

Mercer will provide a revised PDS assumption log that includes the **final** AWC admin fee assumptions.

Please let us know if you have any questions or if you would like to discuss.

Thanks, Jason

Jason Wahlman, MPH Principal, Mercer Government, North America T +1 612 642 8675 M +1 763 639 5756

Assistant: Brooke Erickson brooke.erickson@mercer.com

Mercer Government, 333 South 7th Street, Suite 1400, Minneapolis, MN 55402 www.mercer-government.mercer.com



welcome to brighter

A business of Marsh McLennan

This email and any attachments may be confidential or proprietary. Any review, use, disclosure, distribution or copying of this email is prohibited except by or on behalf of the intended recipient. If you received this message in error or are not the intended recipient, please delete or destroy the email message and any attachments or copies and notify the sender of the erroneous delivery by return email. To the extent that this message or its attachments were sent without encryption, we cannot guarantee that the contents have not been changed or tampered with. Any advice expressed in this message is being delivered to you solely for your use in connection with the matters addressed herein and may not be used for any other purpose without our prior written consent.

This email and any attachments may be confidential or proprietary. Any review, use, disclosure, distribution or copying of this email is prohibited except by or on behalf of the intended recipient. If you received this message in error or are not the intended recipient, please delete or destroy the email message and any attachments or copies and notify the sender of the erroneous delivery by return email. To the extent that this message or its attachments were sent without encryption, we cannot guarantee that the contents have not been changed or tampered with. Any advice expressed in this message is being delivered to you solely for your use in connection with the matters addressed herein and may not be used for any other purpose without our prior written consent.

This email and any attachments may be confidential or proprietary. Any review, use, disclosure, distribution or copying of this email is prohibited except by or on behalf of the intended recipient. If you received this message in error or are not the intended recipient, please delete or destroy the email message and any attachments or copies and notify the sender of the erroneous delivery by return email. To the extent that this message or its attachments were sent without encryption, we cannot guarantee that the contents have not been changed or tampered with. Any advice expressed in this message is being delivered to you solely for your use in connection with the matters addressed herein and may not be used for any other purpose without our prior written consent.