

INTRODUCTION

The Commonwealth of Pennsylvania's Department of Human Services (Department), Office of Developmental Programs (ODP) is pursuing systems change to improve the quality and sustainability of services. ODP is implementing a statewide 1915(b)(4) Performance-Based Contracting (PBC) waiver for residential services, including Residential Habilitation, Supported Living, and Life Sharing, which are currently offered in the Consolidated and Community Living 1915(c) Waiver programs to establish performance standards for residential providers.

The first contract period will be January 1, 2025, through June 30, 2026. Then, beginning July 1, 2026, ODP will annually assign each provider a tier based on the provider's performance. ODP will monitor individual and aggregate provider performance to determine if providers are meeting the identified measures. ODP will then make refinements to measures and targets as more data is obtained.

Additional information related to provider data submission can be found in the PBC Residential Provider Data Submission Tool and Instructions.

As part of its commitment to support providers up to and during the PBC process, ODP developed this **Residential Provider Performance-Based Contracting Preparedness Self-Assessment** so providers of ODP Residential Services may self-evaluate in preparation for the PBC process. This self-assessment, once completed, indicates:

- 1) A Baseline for providers to measure whether they meet, exceed, or need to make any necessary revisions, policy clarifications or new policy development for each of the standards and measures within the PBC process.
- 2) An approximation of the performance relative to the PBC tiers:
 - Primary providers that meet current standards and a few additional standards.
 - Select providers that deliver at least two of the three residential services in the performance-based contracting model and meet the
 established enhanced measures.
 - Clinically Enhanced providers that offer clinically enhanced medical or behavioral supports and meet the established enhanced measures.
- 3) The requirements for providers to achieve the desired contracting tier at the time of PBC submission.

This self-assessment tool is not intended to be submitted to ODP. It acts as a point-in-time evaluation for residential providers to ascertain the current and desired tier for PBC. The assessment tool is most useful when paired with the companion *Residential Provider Performance-Based Contracting**Preparedness Planning Workbook*, which is designed to map out an action strategy for meeting the expectations for PBC at the initial submission. The self-assessment tool also provides information related to future measures that will be implemented in future PBC cycles.

ODP encourages you to use these tools to understand your organization's readiness for and to develop an implementation strategy for PBC.

In addition to the provider preparedness tools, providers are strongly encouraged to utilize other resources that are available to them to support data collection and analysis such as canned and custom reports in HRS <u>online</u> and Incident Management Dashboards in <u>EIM</u>.



PERFORMANCE AREA: Administration

Standard: Demonstrate transparent and sound corporate governance structure

| | Primary | Select | Clinically Enhanced |
|--------------|--|---|--|
| Measure | ADM.01.1 Attest to and submit documentation s | supporting attestation regarding the required elem | nents to meet the standards: |
| | Successful passage of a fiscal readiness review | | |
| | Submission of current financial statements (audited if available) | | |
| | Disclosure of the following: | | |
| | Conflict of interest policy and associated documentation. | | |
| | Any history and status of criminal convice | ctions of officers and owners. | |
| | Licensing status in Pennsylvania for nor | n-ODP licensed residential settings. | |
| | | | h the residential provider, and corporate affiliates, |
| | renders services to individuals with inte or the provider's corporate affiliates. | ellectual and developmental disabilities, if applical | ble. This applies to any MPI operated by the provider |
| Assessment | | nere to all required elements to meet the standard | 1 |
| Question | my agency determinates the expectation to deficit to all required elements to meet the standard. | | |
| Preparedness | ☐ My agency will meet the expectation to submi | it and adhere to all required elements to meet the | e standard |
| Level | | ibmit and adhere to all required elements to meet | |
| Details | Via Provider Data Submission Tool: Provider Data Submission Data Submission Tool: Provider Data Submission Data Submiss | vider submission of current financial statements (a | audited if available) |
| Botano | | ctions of the Provider Data Submission Tool: | |
| | o Financial | | |
| | Conflict of interest disclosure, | | |
| | Criminal conviction disclosure, Licensing and regulatory status dis | closure | |
| | | | of interest, criminal backgrounds and licensing and |
| | | | will be demonstrated by submitting the most recent |
| | | | e past 18 months, submit copies of this audit. If your |
| | • • | current financial statements (at minimum profit/ | loss statements and balance sheets) reflective of |
| | your most recently completed fiscal year. Note: Provider submitted documentation of evidence of the provider submitted documentation of the provider submitted submitted documentation of the provider submitted submit | ence or description as of February 15, 2025 | |
| | | | evocations or non-renewals in one or more states |
| | that are not enrolled to provide ODP residential | services by December 31, 2024, will not be eligibl | le for rendering ODP residential services. |
| | Data Source: Provider attestation; PBC Resident | ial Provider Data Submission Tool, Documentation | n Review |



PERFORMANCE AREA: Administration (continued)

Standard: Demonstrate transparent and sound corporate governance structure (continued)

| | Primary | Select | Clinically Enhanced |
|------------------------|---------|--|---------------------|
| Measure | | ADM.01.2 Documentation that governance by the Board of Directors is informed by voices of individuals with lived experiences by: Including at least one individual with intellectual/ developmental disabilities/autism or a family member of an individual with intellectual/developmental disabilities/autism on the Board OR Operating an advisory committee or subcommittee that is comprised of individuals with lived experience | |
| Assessment Question | | | |
| Preparedness | | Yes | |
| Level | | ☐ No☐ Unknown/Unsure | |
| Details | | Via Provider Data Submission Tool, providers will submit documentation that reflects board/advisory/subcommittee membership, and documentation such as meeting minutes to reflect board deliberations are informed by the input of people with lived experience. If your organization only has owners, they are the governing body. Note: Provider submitted documentation of evidence or description as of February 15, 2025 Data Source: PBC Residential Provider Data Submission Tool; Documentation Review | |



PERFORMANCE AREA: Continuum of Services

Standard: Provide at least two residential services (Residential Habilitation and either Life sharing or Supported Living; Life sharing and either Residential Habilitation or Supported Living; Supported Living and Life sharing or Residential Habilitation)

| Primary | Select | Clinically Enhanced |
|---------|--|---|
| | CoS.01 Provide at least two of the three services during the review period. | |
| | Is your agency providing two of the three | |
| | services on the residential continuum? | |
| | ☐ Yes | |
| | □ No | |
| | ☐ Unknown/Unsure | |
| | ODP will use authorization and claim data to | |
| | · | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | _ | |
| | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | |
| | | |
| | • | |
| | | |
| | Pilliary | CoS.01 Provide at least two of the three services during the review period. Is your agency providing two of the three services on the residential continuum? Yes No Unknown/Unsure |



PERFORMANCE AREA: Continuum of Services (continued)

Standard: Evaluate and assess individuals who may be better served in a more independent setting

| | Primary | Select | Clinically Enhanced |
|-----------------------|---|--|---|
| Measure | CoS.02 Report on the number of individuals with by the provider. | a successful transition from Residential Habilitatio | n to Lifesharing and Supportive Living provided |
| Assessment Question | Is your agency able to report on the number of individuals with a successful transition from Residential Habilitation to Life Sharing and Supported Living? | | |
| Preparedness Level | ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Unknown/Unsure | | |
| Details | | | |



PERFORMANCE AREA: Workforce

Standard: Direct Support Professionals (DSPs): Demonstrated percentage of DSPs who provide residential services are credentialed by either the National Alliance for Direct Support Professionals (NADSP) or the National Association for the Dually Diagnosed (NADD).

| | Primary | Select | Clinically Enhanced |
|------------------------|--|--------|---------------------|
| Measure | WF.01.1 Attest that agency-provided supervisory management training to support skill application of Direct Support Professionals (DSPs) is conducted for all Front-Line Supervisor (FLS) no later than 12/31/25 and is embedded in agency training plan to ensure continuity. | | |
| Assessment Question | Will your organization have agency-provided FLS management training to support the skill application of DSPs in place for all FLSs no later than 12/31/25? | | |
| Preparedness Level | ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Unknown/Unsure | | |
| Assessment Question | Will your agency embed FLS management training to support the skill application of DSPs within your agency training plan by 12/31/25? | | |
| Preparedness Level | ☐ Yes☐ No☐ Unknown/Unsure | | |
| Details | Primary providers will attest via Department developed attestation form, sent via targeted email, embedded training plan supporting the skill development of DSPs or supervisors and management. Note: Life sharers are exempt from this standard. Note: Frontline Supervisors are the first line of management in human service organizations. These are staff who supervise DSPs working with adults with IDD and often also engage in direct support as part of their duties. (NCI, 2022). Data Source: Provider Attestation | | |



PERFORMANCE AREA: Workforce (continued)

Standard: Direct Support Professionals (DSPs) - Demonstrated percentage of DSPs who provide residential services are credentialed by either the National Alliance for Direct Support Professionals (NADSP) or the National Association for the Dually Diagnosed (NADD).

| | Primary | Select | Clinically Enhanced |
|---------------------|--|--------|---------------------|
| Measure | WF.01.2 Submit an agency plan that includes timeframes and milestones for implementing a National Alliance for Direct Support Professionals (NADSP) credentialing program for DSPs. | | |
| Assessment Question | Does your agency have or will your agency be able to submit a plan, including timeframes and milestones, for implementing a credentialing program (NADSP eBadge) that minimally describes the following? The credentialing program that will be or has been initiated for DSPs Agency structure to support the DSP credentialing program: implementation, any associated staff positions, supervision and mentoring, IT/technology, and human resources. Agency budget for credentialing DSP Credentialing program wage structure and/or DSP incentives Timelines and milestones including number and percent of DSPs credentialed (including credentialing level when appropriate) each quarter beginning Jan 1, 2025 Establish baseline data on number of DSPs currently credentialed at each credentialing level (E-Badge DSP 1, 2, 3 or NADD DSP Certified) on 7/1/24. | | |



PERFORMANCE AREA: Workforce (continued)

Standard: Direct Support Professionals (DSPs) - Demonstrated percentage of DSPs who provide residential services are credentialed by either the National Alliance for Direct Support Professionals (NADSP) or the National Association for the Dually Diagnosed (NADD) (continued).

Measure (continued): WF.01.2 Submit an agency plan that includes timeframes and milestones for implementing a National Alliance for Direct Support Professionals (NADSP) credentialing program for DSPs.

| | Primary | Select | Clinically Enhanced |
|-----------------------|--|--------|---------------------|
| Preparedness Level | □ My agency does not have a plan and will be challenged to submit one. □ My agency does not have a plan but can readily create and submit one. □ My agency has a DSP credentialing plan that needs modification and improvement before submission. □ My agency has a DSP credentialing plan ready for submission. | | |
| Details | Primary Providers will submit an agency plan to implement a tiered credentialing plan for DSPs (to include timelines for completion and process details). Note: Life sharers are exempt from this standard. Note: Provider submitted documentation as of February 15, 2025, Data Source: PBC Residential Provider Data Submission Tool and Documentation Submission | | |



PERFORMANCE AREA: Workforce (continued)

Standard: Direct Support Professionals (DSPs)

Demonstrated percentage of DSPs who provide residential services are credentialed by either the National Alliance for Direct Support Professionals (NADSP) or the National Association for the Dually Diagnosed (NADD) (continued).

| | Primary Select | Clinically Enhanced |
|-----------------------|---|--|
| Measure | WF.01.3 Report the percentage of DSPs who are credentialed and/or enrolled in the NADSP eBadge program. | WF.01.3 Report the percentage of DSPs who are credentialed and/or enrolled in the NADSP eBadge and/or NADD program. |
| Assessment Question | Is your agency able to report on the percentage of DSPs who are credentialed a credentialing program? | nd maintain the credential and/or the percentage of DSPs enrolled in a |
| Preparedness Level | ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Unknown/Unsure | |
| Details | Primary, Select, and Clinically Enhanced Providers to complete Department developed Provider Data Submission Tool to include total number of DSPs employed/DSPs who are credentialed and/or enrolled in credentialing program Data will be compared with reports from NADSP. Note: Life sharers are exempt from this standard. Note: Provider submitted documentation as of February 15, 2025, depending on PBC Residential Provider Data Submission Tool date. Data Source: PBC Residential Provider Data Submission Tool; NADSP validation | enrolled in credentialing program. Data will be compared with reports from NADSP and NADD. Note: Life sharers are exempt from this standard. Note: Provider submitted documentation as of February 15, |



PERFORMANCE AREA: Workforce (continued)

Standard: Direct Support Professionals (DSPs) -

Demonstrated percentage of DSPs who provide residential services are credentialed by either the National Alliance for Direct Support Professionals (NADSP) or the National Association for the Dually Diagnosed (NADD) (continued).

| | Primary | Select | Clinically Enhanced |
|------------------------|---------|--|---|
| Measure | | WF.01.4 Attest to increase percentage of DSPs credentialed through NADSP by a minimum of 5% by December 31, 2025, from baseline on 7/1/2024. (Examples: If no DSPs are credentialed on the baseline date, then 5% of DSPs must be credentialed on or before 12/31/2025. If 5% of DSPs are credentialed on the baseline date, then 10% must be credentialed by 12/31/2025.) | WF.01.4 Attest to increase percentage of DSPs credentialed through NADSP and/or NADD by a minimum of 5% by December 31, 2025, from baseline on 7/1/2024. (Examples: If no DSPs are credentialed on the baseline date, then 5% of DSPs must be credentialed on or before 12/31/2025. If 5% of DSPs are credentialed on-the baseline date, then 10% must be credentialed by 12/31/2025.) |
| Assessment Question | | To what degree will your agency meet the minimum DSP credentialing through NADSP by 12/31/25? | To what degree will your agency meet the minimum DSP credentialing through NADSP and/or NADD by 12/31/25? |
| Preparedness Level | | No DSPs credentialed 5% DSPs from 0 baseline <5% more from 7/1/24 baseline 5% more or higher from 7/1/24 baseline 25% or more DSPs already credentialed | No DSPs credentialed 5% DSPs from 0 baseline <5% more from 7/1/24 baseline 5% more or higher from 7/1/24 baseline 25% or more DSPs already credentialed |
| Details | | Select and clinically enhanced providers will attest via a department-developed attestation form to achieve a 5% increase in the total number of credentialed DSPs. Note: Life sharers are exempt from this standard. Note: Providers with 25% or more credentialed DSPs meet the standard without a requirement to increase over the baseline percentage. Data Source: PBC Residential Provider Data Submission Tool, Provider Attestation; NADSP Validation | Select and clinically enhanced providers will attest via a department-developed attestation form to achieve a 5% increase in the total number of credentialed DSPs. Note: Life sharers are exempt from this standard. Note: Providers with 25% or more credentialed DSPs meet the standard without a requirement to increase over the baseline percentage. Data Source: PBC Residential Provider Data Submission Tool, Provider Attestation; NADSP and NADD Validation |



PERFORMANCE AREA: Workforce (continued)

Standard: Front-Line Supervisors (FLSs): Demonstrated percentage of FLSs who provide residential services are credentialed by NADSP, which is approved by ODP.

| | Primary | Select | Clinically Enhanced |
|------------------------|--|--------|---------------------|
| Measure | WF.02.1 Attest that agency-provided supervisory management training to support skill application of FLSs is conducted for all house managers and program management staff (or equivalent positions) no later than December 31, 2025, and is embedded in agency training plan to ensure continuity. | | |
| Assessment Question | Will your organization have agency-provided supervisory management training to support skill application of FLSs to all house managers and program management staff (or equivalent positions) no later than December 31, 2025, and embed it in your agency training plan to ensure continuity? | | |
| Preparedness Level | ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Unknown/Unsure | | |
| Assessment Question | Will your agency embed supervisory management training for all house managers and program management staff in your agency training plan by 12/31/25? | | |
| Preparedness Level | ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Unknown/Unsure | | |
| Details | Primary providers will attest via a department-developed attestation form, sent via targeted email, embedded training plan supporting the skill development of FLSs for supervisors and management. Note: Life sharers are exempt from this standard. Data Source: Provider Attestation | | |



PERFORMANCE AREA: Workforce (continued)

Standard: Front Line Supervisors (FLSs) - Demonstrated percentage of FLSs who provide residential services are credentialed by a NADSP, which is approved by ODP (continued)

| | Primary | Select | Clinically Enhanced |
|------------------------|--|--------|---------------------|
| Measure | WF.02.2 Submit an agency plan including timeframes and milestones for implementing a NADSP credentialing program for FLSs. | | |
| Assessment Question | Will your agency be able to submit an agency plan including timeframes and milestones for implementing a FLS credentialing program, that minimally describes the following? Agency structure to support the FLS credentialing program: implementation, any associated staff positions, supervision and mentoring, IT/technology, and human resources. Agency budget for credentialing FLS credentialing program wage structure and/or incentives Timelines and milestones including number and percent of FLSs credentialed each quarter beginning Jan 1, 2025 Plan for supervisory management training to support skill application of DSPs is conducted for all FLSs. Plan for supervisory management training to support skill application of FLSs is provided to all house managers and program management staff (or equivalent positions). Establish baseline data on number of FLSs currently credentialed. | | |
| Preparedness Level | ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Unknown/Unsure | | |
| Details | Primary Providers will submit an agency plan to implement a tiered credentialing plan for FLSs including timelines for completion and process details). Note: Life sharers are exempt from this standard. Note: Provider submitted documentation of evidence or description of use of targeted resources as of February 15, 2025, depending on PBC Residential Provider Data Submission Tool date. Data Source: PBC Residential Provider Data Submission Tool and Documentation Submission | | |



PERFORMANCE AREA: Workforce (continued)

Standard: Front Line Supervisors (FLSs) - Demonstrated percentage of FLSs who provide residential services are credentialed by a NADSP, which is approved by ODP (continued)

| | Primary | Select | Clinically Enhanced |
|------------------------|--|--|----------------------|
| Measure | WF.02.3 Report the percentage of FLSs who ar | e credentialed and/or enrolled in the NADSP eBad | ge program for FLSs. |
| Assessment Question | Is your agency able to report the percentage of FLSs who are credentialed and/or enrolled in a credentialing program and maintain credentials? | | |
| Preparedness Level | ☐ Yes☐ No☐ Unknown/Unsure | | |
| Details | | February 15, 2025 | |



PERFORMANCE AREA: Workforce (continued)

Standard: Front Line Supervisors (FLSs) - Demonstrated percentage of FLSs who provide residential services are credentialed by a NADSP, which is approved by ODP (continued)

| | Primary | Select | Clinically Enhanced |
|-----------------------|---------|---|---------------------------|
| Measure | | WF.02.4 Attest to increase percentage of FLSs credentialed through NADSP by a minimum of 10% by December 31, 2025, from baseline on 7/1/2024. If no FLSs are credentialed on the baseline date, then 10% of FLSs must be credentialed on or before 12/31/2025. If 5% of FLSs are credentialed on the baseline date, then 15% must be credentialed by 12/31/2025. | |
| Assessment Question | | To what degree will your agency meet the minimum FLS credentialing through NADSP by 12/31/25? | |
| Preparedness Level | | No FLSs credentialed 10% FLSs from 0 baseline <10% more from 7/1/24 baseline 10% more or higher from 7/1/24 baseline | |
| Details | | □ 25% or more FLSs already credentialed Select and clinically enhanced providers will attest via achieve a 5% increase in the total number of credenti Note: Life sharers are exempt from this standard. Note: Providers having greater than 25% of FLS crede without requirement to increase percentage. | aled FLSs. |
| | | Data Source: PBC Residential Provider Data Submissi | on Tool, NADSP Validation |



PERFORMANCE AREA: Workforce (continued)

Standard: Front Line Supervisors (FLSs) - Demonstrated workforce stability strategy to reduce and manage turnover and vacancy rates of FLSs and DSPs

| | Primary | Select | Clinically Enhanced |
|-----------------------|--|--------|---------------------|
| Measure | WF.03.1 Report FLS and DSP voluntary and involuntary turnover rate | | |
| Assessment Question | Does your agency track separation by type enabling the ability to report DSP and FLS voluntary and involuntary turnover rates? | | |
| Preparedness Level | □ My agency does not track separation by type and will be challenged to do so. □ My agency tracks separation by type but will need to create methods for reporting to the ODP PSA vendor. □ My agency tracks separation by type and can modify processes to report turnover data. □ My agency tracks separation by type and is prepared to report turnover data to the ODP PSA vendor. | | |
| Details | Primary, Select, and Clinically Enhanced Providers to complete Department developed annual Provider Data Submission Tool to include total number of FLSs and DSPs who have ceased employment with that provider agency within the last 12 months. Note: ODP uses the same operational definition of "turnover" as included in the NCI State of the Workforce Survey (i.e [Total separated DSPs/FLSs in past year] divided by [Total DSPs/FLSs on payroll] as of December 31, 2024.) Each agency's turnover ratio should be calculated with this same formula. Data Source: PBC Residential Provider Data Submission Tool; Quarterly Census of Employment and Wages (QCEW) data | | |
| Measure | WF.03.2 Report percentage of contracted staff in DSP and FLS positions | | |
| Assessment Question | Is your agency able to report the percentage of contracted staff in DSP and FLS positions? | | |
| Preparedness Level | □ My agency does not track percentages of contracted staff in DSP and FLS positions and will be challenged to do so. □ My agency tracks percentages of contracted staff in DSP and FLS positions but will need to create methods for reporting to the ODP PSA vendor. □ My agency tracks percentages of contracted staff in DSP and FLS positions and can modify processes to report turnover data. □ My agency tracks percentages of contracted staff in DSP and FLS positions and is prepared to report this staffing data to the ODP PSA vendor. | | |
| Details | Primary, Select, and Clinically Enhanced Providers to complete Department developed annual Provider Data Submission Tool to include total number of contracted staff filling FLS and DSP positions. Data Source: PBC Residential Provider Data Submission Tool; Quarterly Census of Employment and Wages (QCEW) data | | |



PERFORMANCE AREA: Workforce (continued)

Standard: Front Line Supervisors (FLSs) - Demonstrated workforce stability strategy to reduce and manage turnover and vacancy rates of FLSs and DSPs (continued)

| | Primary | Select | Clinically Enhanced |
|-----------------------|---------|---|--|
| Measure | | WF.03.3 Participate in the <u>National Core Indicators® (NCI) State of the Workforce Survey® (SoTW)</u> and release provider NCI data to ODP to validate turnover and other workforce data in alignment with the NCI SoTW data collection period. | |
| Assessment Question | | Does your agency collect and historically record do (2022 State of the Workforce Survey Report) | ata in accordance with NCI SoTW definitions? |
| Preparedness Level | | My agency does not collect workforce or turnover My agency collects workforce and turnover data definitions. | |
| | | ☐ My agency collects workforce and turnover data that is partially aligned with NCI SoTW definitions. | |
| | | ☐ My agency collects workforce and turnover data that fully aligns with and exceeds NCI SoTW definitions. | |
| Assessment Question | | Is your agency able to submit data in the format necessary for participation in the NCI SoTW survey data collection? | |
| Preparedness Level | | ☐ Yes ☐ No | |
| | | ☐ Unknown/Unsure | |
| Details | | Via the annual NCI SoTW Survey, Select and Clinic specific NCI SoTW data. | cally Enhanced Providers will submit agency- |
| | | Data Source: NCI SoTW Survey | |



PERFORMANCE AREA: Workforce (continued)

Standard: Front Line Supervisors (FLSs): Demonstrated commitment to enhance diversity, equity, and inclusion (DEI) — examples: line-item budget, dedicated staff, policy/procedures

| | Primary | Select | Clinically Enhanced | |
|-----------------------|--|---|---------------------|--|
| Measure | WF.04.1 Submission of current policy that addresses DEI in provider's workforce | | | |
| Assessment Question | Does your agency have, or will your agency be able to submit, a policy that addresses DEI for your workforce? | | | |
| Preparedness Level | □ My agency does not have a policy that addresses DEI in our workforce, and we will be challenged to submit one. □ My agency does not have a plan that addresses DEI in our workforce, but it can readily create and submit one. □ My agency has a policy that addresses DEI in our workforce, which needs modification and improvement before submission. □ My agency has a policy that addresses DEI in our workforce, which is ready for submission. | | | |
| Details | Primary, Select, and Clinically Enhanced Providers will submit agency policy to demonstrate commitment to enhancing diversity, equity, and inclusion (DEI). Note: Provider submitted documentation as of February 15, 2025 Data Source: PBC Residential Provider Data Submission Tool with Documentation Submission | | | |
| Measure | | WF.04.2 Attest that the agency has a strategic pla | n that includes DEI | |
| Assessment Question | | Does your agency have a strategic plan that includes DEI? | | |
| Preparedness | | ☐ My agency does not have a strategic plan | | |
| Level | ☐ My agency does not have a strategic plan that includes DEI but can readily create one ☐ My agency has a strategic plan that includes DEI in our workforce that needs modification and improvement ☐ My agency has a strategic plan that includes DEI in our workforce | | | |
| Details | | Select and Clinically Enhanced Providers to compl plan outlining DEI strategies within the agency. Note: Provider attestation of February 15, 2025 Data Source: Provider Attestation | | |



PERFORMANCE AREA: Workforce (continued)

Standard: Front Line Supervisors (FLSs): Demonstrated commitment to enhance diversity, equity, and inclusion (DEI) — examples: line-item budget, dedicated staff, policy/procedures (continued)

| | Primary | Select | Clinically Enhanced |
|-----------------------|---------|---|--|
| Measure | | WF.04.3 Submit documentation that the agency has a committee of staff focused on DEI. | |
| Assessment Question | | Does your agency have an organizational committee of staff focused on DEI? | |
| Preparedness Level | | □ My agency does not have a staff committee focused on DEI and will be challenged to est one. □ My agency does not have a committee of staff focused on DEI but can readily establish a operationalize one. □ My agency has a staff committee focused on DEI that is operational and effective. | |
| Details | | Select and Clinically Enhanced Providers to compl Submission Tool identifying committee focused or individuals supported. Include committee membe frequency. Note: Provider submitted documentation as of Feb Provider Data Submission Tool with Documentation | n DEI made up of management staff, DSPs and r names, their roles in your agency and meeting oruary 15, 2025 Data Source PBC Residential |



PERFORMANCE AREA: Workforce (continued)

Standard: Front Line Supervisors (FLSs): Demonstrated commitment to enhance diversity, equity, and inclusion (DEI) — examples: line-item budget, dedicated staff, policy/procedures (continued)

| | Primary | Select | Clinically Enhanced |
|-----------------------|---------|--|------------------------|
| Measure | | WF.04.4 Submit documentation that training for staff is relevant to the employee's own culture and language | |
| Assessment Question | | Is your training for staff tailored for relevancy to the employees' culture and language? | |
| Preparedness Level | | □ My agency does not have staff training that is relevant to the culture and language of employees and will be challenged to implement tailored training. □ My agency does not have staff training that is relevant to the culture and language of employees, but it can develop and implement tailored training. □ My agency has staff training that includes some considerations of employees' culture and language, but modifications are necessary to improve tailoring and relevancy. □ My agency has staff training that is tailored for and relevant to the culture and language of employees. | |
| Details | | Select and Clinically Enhanced Providers to compl Submission Tool outlining staff training plan on DE Note: Provider submitted documentation as of Feb Data Source: PBC Residential Provider Data Subm | El. oruary 15, 2025 |



PERFORMANCE AREA: Workforce (continued)

Standard: Front Line Supervisors (FLSs): Demonstrated commitment to enhance diversity, equity, and inclusion (DEI) — examples: line-item budget, dedicated staff, policy/procedures (continued)

| | Primary | Select | Clinically Enhanced |
|-----------------------|---------|--|---------------------|
| Measure | | WF.04.5 Attest that the agency plan includes recruitment and advancement activities for staff with culturally and linguistically diverse backgrounds. | |
| Assessment Question | | Does your agency have, or will it be able to have, a plan that includes recruitment and advancement activities for staff with culturally and linguistically diverse backgrounds? | |
| Preparedness Level | | □ My agency does not have a plan that includes recruitment and advancement activities for staff with culturally and linguistically diverse backgrounds and will be challenged to establish one. □ My agency does not have a plan that includes recruitment and advancement activities for staff with culturally and linguistically diverse backgrounds, but it can develop and operationalize one. □ My agency has a plan that includes recruitment and advancement activities for staff but needs improvement in addressing culturally and linguistically diverse backgrounds. □ My agency has a plan that includes recruitment and advancement activities for staff with culturally and linguistically diverse backgrounds. | |
| Details | | Select and Clinically Enhanced Providers to compl recruitment and advancement activities for staff v backgrounds. Data Source: Provider Attestation | |



PERFORMANCE AREA: Supporting Individuals

Standard: Residential program has a demonstrated ratio (employed or contracted) of licensed clinical staff and/or staff credentialed in a nationally recognized (and ODP approved) credentialling program that meets the needs of individuals served in the program

| | Primary | Select | Clinically Enhanced |
|------------------------|---------|---|---------------------|
| Assessment Question | | CN-C.01.1 Report current ratio of licensed/credentialled full-time equivalents to number of individuals served to demonstrate size of agency multidisciplinary clinical team. Provide description of agency allocation of clinical resources across resident population to meet individual needs, mitigate individual risk and support individuals' teams. Description should include provider's process for allocating clinical resources across health care levels and Needs Levels/Needs Group Will your agency be able to report the current ratio of licensed/credentialled FTE to demonstrate adequacy of agency clinical team? | |
| Preparedness Level | | □ My agency does not have mechanisms to track or report the current ratio of licensed/credentialled FTEs to demonstrate adequacy of agency clinical team and will be challenged to report that data. □ My agency has basic tracking and the ability to report the current ratio of licensed/credentialled FTEs to demonstrate adequacy of agency clinical team but needs improvement to demonstrate the measure. □ My agency has basic FTE tracking but needs improvement to demonstrate the ratio of licensed/credentialled FTEs for an adequate agency clinical team as required. □ My agency has sophisticated tracking to report the current ratio of licensed/credentialled FTEs to demonstrate adequacy of agency clinical team as required. | |



PERFORMANCE AREA: Supporting Individuals with Complex Needs (continued)

Standard: Residential program has a demonstrated ratio (employed or contracted) of licensed clinical staff and/or staff credentialed in a nationally recognized (and ODP-approved) credentialing program that meets the needs of individuals served in the program (continued)

Measure (continued): CN-C.01.1 Report current ratio of licensed/credentialled full-time equivalents to number of individuals served to demonstrate size of agency multidisciplinary clinical team. Provide description of agency allocation of clinical resources across resident population to meet individual needs, mitigate individual risk and support individuals' teams. Description should include provider's process for allocating clinical resources across health care levels and Needs Levels/Needs Groups.

| | Primary | Select | Clinically Enhanced |
|---------|---------|--|---|
| Details | | Select and Clinically Enhanced Residential Provide will report names and license/credential informat whether employed directly or engaged through co This information will be tabulated and compared to licensed/credentialed FTEs to number of people Note: Accepted behavioral/mental health professional Counselors (LPC), and Behavior Specialist; Certified Peer Specialist; Certified Peer Specialist, and Behavior Support Professional that me Note: Provider submitted documentation of evider of January 1, 2025. Note: Provider submitted documentation as of Fel Data Source: PBC Residential Provider Data Submitted | ion of all licensed/credentialed clinical staff, intractual arrangements, as of a specified date. o provider census data to determine the ratio of served. onals are Licensed Psychiatrists, Psychologists, cialists; BCBA, BCaBA, NADD-Clinical Certification, decialists, LCSW, Registered Behavioral Technician ets ODP waiver qualification requirements. Ince or description of use of targeted resources as orwary 15, 2025 |



PERFORMANCE AREA: Supporting Individuals with Complex Needs (continued)

Standard: Residential program has a demonstrated ratio (employed or contracted) of licensed clinical staff and/or staff credentialed in a nationally recognized (and ODP-approved) credentialing program that meets the needs of individuals served in the program (continued)

| Primary | Select | Clinically Enhanced |
|---------|---------|--|
| | | CN-C.01.2 Population served by the agency in residential services is in the top quartile of acuity of both Needs Level (NL) and Health Care Level (HCL) of the statewide population in residential. |
| | | Does your agency have a process to review referrals to determine if appropriate clinical resources are available? |
| | | ☐ Yes |
| | | □ No □ Unsure |
| | Primary | Primary Select |



PERFORMANCE AREA: Supporting Individuals with Complex Needs (continued)

Standard: Residential program has a demonstrated ratio (employed or contracted) of licensed clinical staff and/or staff credentialed in a nationally recognized (and ODP-approved) credentialing program that meets the needs of individuals served in the program (continued) **Measure CN-C.01.2** (Continued): Population served by the agency in residential services is in the top quartile O of acuity of both Needs Level (NL) and Health Care Level (HCL) of the statewide population in residential.O

| | Primary | Select | Clinically Enhanced |
|---------|---------|--------|---|
| Details | | | ODP will review SIS NL and HRST data to determine provider status in this area. First portion (SIS NL): Numerator: Total needs level of all persons supported by the provider as of a specific date (Excluding Supported Living and Lifesharing Denominator: Total number of people supported by provider in residential services as of the same specific date (Excluding Supported Living and Lifesharing Second portion (HRST HCL) For this to be measured, all HRST screenings must be up to date (within statutory frequency) as of the specified date. Numerator: Total HCL of all persons supported by the provider as of a specific date (Excluding Supported Living and Lifesharing Denominator: Total number of people supported by provider in residential services as of the same specific date (Excluding Supported Living and Lifesharing |
| | | | Note: Top quartile for contract period through June 30, 2026 is NL 4.5 or greater and HCL 3.5 or greater. Note: In future contract cycles, Clinically Enhanced providers that effectively support individuals with improvements in health and reduction in supports needs that result in lower individual and, subsequently, aggregate HCL and NL scores may submit supporting documentation with QI.01.4 to maintain status in Clinically Enhanced tier. Note: The review period will be point in time - February 15, 2025 Note: Supported Living and Lifesharing HCL and NL data will be excluded from the calculation for 2025 submission. Data Source: SIS, HRS, (ODP data pull) |



PERFORMANCE AREA: Supporting Individuals with Complex Needs (continued)

Standard: Residential program has a demonstrated ratio (employed or contracted) of licensed clinical staff and/or staff credentialed in a nationally recognized (and ODP-approved) credentialing program that meets the needs of individuals served in the program (continued)

| | Primary | Select | Clinically Enhanced |
|-----------------------|---------|---|--|
| Measure | | CN-C.01.3 Provide a plan for and attest to agency tracking and use of data from the Health Risk Screening Tool (HRST) measure interruption in daily activity because of illness ("clinical issues") to improve health outcomes, at the initial contracting or renewal date. | |
| Assessment | | Does your agency have, or will it be able to have, a | |
| Question | | data from the HRST to measure interruption in da improve health outcomes? | ily activity because of illness ("clinical issues") to |
| Preparedness Level | | □ My agency does not have a plan and does not use the HRST to measure interruption in daily activity because of illness ("clinical issues") to improve health outcomes and will be challenged to establish one. □ My agency does not have a plan that tracks and uses data from the HRST to measure interruption in daily activity because of illness ("clinical issues") to improve health outcomes but can readily establish and operationalize one. □ My agency has a plan that includes tracking and using data from the HRST but needs | |
| | | improvement to measure interruption in daily acti improve health outcomes. | |
| | | My agency has a plan that includes tracking an interruption in daily activity because of illness ("cl | |
| Details | | interruption in daily activity because of illness ("clinical issues") to improve health outcomes.1. Via an attestation form, providers will submit an attestation of agency tracking and use of HI data as indicated in the measure. | |
| | | Note: Providers are encouraged to use canned an | how this data is used to improve health outcomes. d custom reports available through HRS Online. |
| | | Note: Provider submitted documentation as of Fel Data Source: PBC Residential Provider Data Subm Review, HRS | |



PERFORMANCE AREA: Supporting Individuals with Complex Needs (continued)

Standard: Residential program has a demonstrated ratio (employed or contracted) of licensed clinical staff and/or staff credentialed in a nationally recognized (and ODP-approved) credentialing program that meets the needs of individuals served in the program (continued)

| | Primary | Select | Clinically Enhanced |
|-----------------------|---------|--------|--|
| Measure | | | CN-C.01.4 Meet a 1:15 minimum ratio of full- time equivalent behavioral/mental health clinical staff to all individuals receiving residential services from the agency. |
| Assessment Question | | | Does your agency meet a 1:15 minimum ratio of behavioral/mental health clinical staff to all individuals served? |
| Preparedness Level | | | ☐ Yes, at the minimum ratio ☐ Yes, above the minimum ratio ☐ No ☐ Unknown/Unsure |



PERFORMANCE AREA: Supporting Individuals with Complex Needs (continued)

Standard: Residential program has a demonstrated ratio (employed or contracted) of licensed clinical staff and/or staff credentialed in a nationally recognized (and ODP approved) credentialing program that meets the needs of individuals served in the program (continued)

Measure CN-C.01.4 (continued) Meet a 1:15 minimum ratio of full-time equivalent behavioral/mental health clinical staff to all individuals

receiving residential services from the agency served.

| | Primary | Select | Clinically Enhanced |
|---------|---------|--------|---|
| Details | | | Via Provider Data Submission Tool agencies will report the number of FTE behavioral/ mental health clinical staff as of a specific date. Numerator: provider reported number FTE behavioral/mental health clinical staff as of a specific date. Denominator: provider census as of the same date. Outcome must be a minimum of 1:15 in order to qualify for Clinically Enhanced. Note: Accepted behavioral/mental health professionals are Licensed Psychiatrists, Psychologists, Professional Counselors (LPC), and Behavior Specialists; BCBA, BCaBA, NADD-Clinical Certification, NADD Dual Diagnosis Specialist; Certified Peer Specialists, LCSW, Registered Behavioral Technician (RBT), and Behavior Support Professional that meets ODP waiver qualification requirements. Note: Provider submitted documentation of evidence or description of use of targeted resources as of July 1, 2024. Note: Provider submitted documentation as of February 15, 2025 Data Source: PBC Residential Provider Data Submission Tool; SIS; HRS |



PERFORMANCE AREA: Supporting Individuals with Complex Needs (continued)

Standard: Demonstrated ability to support individuals to access necessary physical health and behavioral health (BH) treatments

| | Primary | Select | Clinically Enhanced | |
|------------------------|---|--------|---|--|
| Measure | CN-C.02.1 Provide current description of established professional relationships to support individuals served (i.e., relationship with a local BH provider, certified peer specialists, and/or primary care health/medical provider that has training/experience in autism or developmental disabilities), at the initial contracting or renewal date. | | | |
| Assessment Question | Will your agency be able to report a current description of professional relationships to support individuals (i.e., relationship with a local BH provider, certified peer specialists, and/or primary care health/medical provider that has training/experience in autism or developmental disabilities)? | | | |
| Preparedness Level | 40 - 4 900 - | | supporting people with autism or developmental ople with autism or developmental disabilities | |
| Details | Details Via Provider Data Submission Tool, providers will report information relating to any professional relationships the provider agency maintains in composition support individuals with medical and behavioral health needs. Note: Provider submitted documentation as of February 15, 2025 Data Source: PBC Residential Provider Data Submission Tool; Documentation Review | | the provider agency maintains in order to | |



PERFORMANCE AREA: Supporting Individuals with Complex Needs (continued)

Standard: Demonstrated ability to support individuals to access necessary physical health and behavioral health (BH) treatments (continued)

| | Primary | Select | Clinically Enhanced |
|------------------------|---------|--|--|
| Measure | | CN-C-02.2s Follow-up after hospitalization for mental illness (e.g., Clinical Social Worker, Marriage and Family Therapist, Mental Health Occupational Therapist, Neuropsychologist, Professional Counselor, Psychiatric/Mental Health Nurse Practitioner/Clinical Nurse Specialist, Psychiatrist, Psychoanalyst, Psychologist) at 30-day a minimum of 75% | CN-C-02.2ce Follow-up after hospitalization for mental illness (e.g., Clinical Social Worker, Marriage and Family Therapist, Mental Health Occupational Therapist, Neuropsychologist, Professional Counselor, Psychiatric/Mental Health Nurse Practitioner/Clinical Nurse Specialist, Psychiatrist, Psychoanalyst, Psychologist), a minimum of 40% for 7-days and a minimum of 75% for 30-days |
| Assessment Question | | Will your agency be able to report, at least 75% of the time, that follow-up occurred for mental illness within 30 days of hospital discharge? | Will your agency be able to report, at least 40% of the time, that follow-up occurred for mental illness within 7 days of hospital discharge? At least 75% of the time for 30-day follow-up? |
| Preparedness Level | | ☑ My agency will be able to report a minimum of 25% frequency of follow-up after a hospitalization for mental illness, but do not meet the 75% standard. ☑ My agency will be able to report a minimum of 25% - 49% frequency of follow-up after hospitalization for mental illness but do not meet the 75% standard. ☑ My agency will be able to report a minimum of 50% - 74% frequency of follow-up after hospitalization for mental illness but do not meet the 75% standard. ☑ My agency will be able to report a minimum of 75% or higher frequency of follow-up after a hospitalization for mental illness. | ☑ My agency will be able to report a minimum frequency of follow-up after a hospitalization for mental illness, but do not meet the 40% or 75% standard. ☑ My agency will be able to report a moderate frequency of follow-up after a hospitalization for mental illness but do not yet closely approach the 40% or 75% standard. ☑ My agency will be able to report a minimum of 40% or higher for 7-day and 75% or higher frequency of follow-up after hospitalization for mental illness. |



PERFORMANCE AREA: Supporting Individuals with Complex Needs (continued)

Standard: Demonstrated ability to support individuals to access necessary physical health and behavioral health (BH) treatments (continued)

Measure (continued): CN-C.02.2s Follow-up after hospitalization for mental illness (e.g., Clinical Social Worker, Marriage and Family Therapist, Mental Health Occupational Therapist, Neuropsychologist, Professional Counselor, Psychiatric/Mental Health Nurse Practitioner/Clinical Nurse Specialist, Psychiatrist, Psychoanalyst, Psychologist) at 30-day a minimum of 75%, at initial contracting or renewal looking back at the prior calendar year; **Measure (continued): CN-C.02.2ce** Follow-up after hospitalization for mental illness (e.g., Clinical Social Worker, Marriage and Family Therapist, Mental Health Occupational Therapist, Neuropsychologist, Professional Counselor, Psychiatric/Mental Health Nurse Practitioner/Clinical Nurse Specialist, Psychoanalyst, Psychologist), a minimum of 40% for 7-days and a minimum of 75% for 30-days, at initial contracting or renewal looking back at the prior calendar year.

| | Primary | Select | Clinically Enhanced |
|---------|---------|--|---|
| Details | | This measure will apply to individuals 6 years of age and inpatient setting with a primary diagnosis at discharge of measure will assess rates of follow-up with a mental head discharge by way of a review of claims for the previous cavisit is within 7 days after discharge, then this will be couproviders can perform the follow-up visit (listed alphabetis Therapist, Mental Health Occupational Therapist, Neurop Psychiatric/Mental Health Nurse Practitioner/Clinical Nu Psychologist. Denominator is individuals served by a provider who acute inpatient stay within the previous calendar year discharge must be mental illness or intentional self-left. Numerator is ages 6 years and older discharged from diagnosis at discharge was a mental illness or intentiappropriate professional within 7 days. Service proving languages at discharge was a mental illness or intentiappropriate professional within 30 days. Service province individual was seen within 7 days, they will be counted to the Providers submitting in February-March 2025 will be publish available hospitalization and follow-up data prior opening so that providers can provide documentation to claims data may not be available. Data Source: P3N; Claims | a mental illness or intentional self-harm. This alth provider within 7 days and within 30 days of selendar year plus 30 days. If the first follow-up inted as being within 30 days also. The following cally): Clinical Social Worker, Marriage and Family seychologist, Professional Counselor, rese Specialist, Psychiatrist, Psychoanalyst, are ages 6 years and older discharged from an riplus 30 days. The principal diagnosis at narm. In an acute inpatient stay where the principal ional self-harm and who had follow-up with an ional self-harm and who had follow-up also. We evaluated using CY24 data. ODP will to the 2025 data submission period |



PERFORMANCE AREA: Supporting Individuals with Complex Needs (Dual Diagnosis/Behavioral)

Standard: Demonstrate that the agency has integrated behavioral supports through use of employed or contracted licensed clinicians and/or behavioral support professionals, and demonstrate that training and support are routinely provided in homes to individuals and teams

| | Primary | Select | Clinically Enhanced |
|-----------------------|---------|--|---|
| Measure | | CN-DD/Bx.01.1s Attest that all newly hired DSPs, FLSs, and program managers will complete training on Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD) (i.e., SPECTRUM, or equivalent basic course on effectively supporting individuals with ASD) within 1 year of hire beginning January 1, 2025. | CN-DD/Bx.01.1ce Attest that no later than December 31, 2025, all DSPs, FLSs, and program managers will have completed training on Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD) (i.e., Spectrum or equivalent basic course on effectively supporting individuals with ASD) and new staff will complete within 1-year of hire beginning January 1, 2025 |
| Assessment Question | | Will your agency be able to attest that no later than December 31, 2025, all DSPs, FLSs, and program managers will have completed training on ASD (i.e., Spectrum or equivalent basic course on effectively supporting people with ASD) and new staff will complete within 1 year of hire? | |
| Preparedness Level | | ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Unknown/Unsure | |
| Details | | Select Residential Providers: Providers will submit attestation indicating that as of a specified date, all newly hired DSPs, FLSs, and program managers will complete training on Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD) (i.e., Spectrum or equivalent basic course on effectively supporting people with ASD within 1- year of hire. Clinically Enhanced Residential Providers: Providers will submit attestation indicating that no later than December 31, 2025, all DSPs, FLSs, and program managers will have completed training on autism spectrum disorder (ASD) (i.e., Spectrum or equivalent basic course on effectively supporting people with ASD) and new staff will complete within 1- year of hire. Note: Life sharers are exempt from this standard unless supporting an individual with ASD, but providers are encouraged to ensure staff/Life sharers receive training specific to the support needs of individuals receiving any residential service. Data source: Attestation | |



PERFORMANCE AREA: Supporting Individuals with Complex Needs (Dual Diagnosis/Behavioral) (continued)

Standard: Demonstrate that the agency has integrated behavioral supports through use of employed or contracted licensed clinicians and/or behavioral support professionals, and demonstrate that training and support are routinely provided in homes to individuals and teams (continued)

| | Primary | Select | Clinically Enhanced |
|------------------------|---------|---|---|
| Measure | | CN-DD/Bx.01.2s Demonstrate a minimum of 50% of total behavioral support hours as face-to-face time (in person or virtual) with behavioral support staff across all settings interfacing with family, DSPs, FLSs, and individuals. | CN-DD/Bx.01.2ce Demonstrate a minimum of 70% of total behavioral support hours as face-to-face time (in person or virtual) with behavioral support staff across all settings interfacing with family, DSPs, FLSs, and individuals. |
| Assessment Question | | Will your agency be able to demonstrate that a minimum of 50% of total behavioral support hours are face-to-face across all settings, interfacing with family, DSPs, FLSs, and individuals? | Will your agency be able to demonstrate that a minimum of 70% of total behavioral support hours are face-to-face across all settings, interfacing with family, DSPs, FLSs, and individuals? |
| Preparedness Level | | ☑ My agency will be able to report no more than 25% of total behavioral support hours are faceto-face with behavioral support staff across all settings. ☑ My agency will be able to report between 25% - 49% of total behavioral support hours are faceto-face with behavioral support staff across all settings. ☑ My agency will be able to report a minimum of 50% of total behavioral support hours are face-to-face with behavioral support staff across all settings. ☑ My agency will be able to report 51% or more of total behavioral support hours are face-to-face with behavioral support staff across all settings. ☑ My agency will be able to report 51% or more of total behavioral support staff across all settings. | ☑ My agency will be able to report no more than 35% of total behavioral support hours are face-to-face with behavioral support staff across all settings. ☑ My agency will be able to report between 36% - 69% total behavioral support hours are face-to-face with behavioral support staff across all settings. ☑ My agency will be able to report a minimum of 70% of total behavioral support hours are face-to-face with behavioral support staff across all settings. ☑ My agency will be able to report 70% or more of total behavioral support hours are face-to-face with behavioral support staff across all settings. |



PERFORMANCE AREA: Supporting Individuals with Complex Needs (Dual Diagnosis/Behavioral) (continued)

Standard: Demonstrate that the agency has integrated behavioral supports through use of employed or contracted licensed clinicians and/or behavioral support professionals, and demonstrate that training and support are routinely provided in homes to individuals and teams (continued)

Measure (continued): CN-DD/Bx.01.2s Demonstrate a minimum of 50% of total behavioral support hours as face-to-face time (in person or virtual) with behavioral support staff across all settings interfacing with family, DSPs, FLSs, and individuals, at initial contracting or renewal looking back at the prior calendar year. CN-DD/Bx.01.2ce Demonstrate a minimum of 70% of total behavioral support hours as face-to-face time (in person or virtual) with behavioral support staff across all settings interfacing with family, DSPs, FLSs, and individuals, at initial contracting or renewal looking back at the prior calendar year.

| | Primary | Select | Clinically Enhanced |
|---------|---------|--|---|
| Details | | Residential Providers) or at least 70% of total bet time during the requested time period (Clinically I Face-to-face behavioral support time may be in person delivering the behavioral support services and any other member of an individual's support | frame), with delineations for face-to-face time withis data to ensure that at least 50% of total face time during the requested time period (Select navior support hours were delivered as face-to-face Enhanced Residential Providers). Person or virtual and includes time in which the sis interfacing with individuals, family, DSPs, FLSs, team. This time can include time spent training, hrough direct observation, and any other behavioral he individual supported or any member of their eting and reviewing assessment tool data, plan lentation. |



PERFORMANCE AREA: Supporting Individuals with Complex Needs (Dual Diagnosis/Behavioral) (continued)

Standard: Demonstrate use of data to impact individual outcomes.

| | Primary | Select | Clinically Enhanced |
|------------------------|---|---|--|
| Measure | CN-DD/Bx.02.1 For the CY2024 review period, report on percentage of individuals with restrictive procedures that have been evaluated by (or are receiving treatment) within the past year from licensed psychiatrists, psychologists, CRNPs, LSWs, or have received treatment from a professional in a licensed outpatient BH clinic For the review period of CY2025 and subsequent years, demonstrate that 100% of people with restrictive procedures have been evaluated (or are in current treatment) within the past year by licensed psychiatrists, psychologists, CRNPs, LSWs, or have received treatment by a professional in a licensed outpatient BH clinic. | | |
| Assessment Question | | age of people with restrictive procedures that have /chologists, CRNPs, LSWs, and/or have received tre | |
| Preparedness Level | ☑ My agency does not currently evaluate individual with restrictive by any professional and will be challenged to do so. ☑ My agency does not currently evaluate individuals with restrictive procedures but can readily develop and implement a protocol. ☑ My agency has a protocol for evaluating individuals with restrictive procedures but needs to improve the process to do it at least annually and with the specified professionals. ☑ My agency has a protocol for at least annually evaluating individuals with restrictive procedures by the specified professionals. | | |
| Assessment Question | Will your agency be able to report for the review period of CY2025 that 100% of people with restrictive procedures have been evaluated (or are in current treatment) within the past year by licensed psychiatrists, psychologists, CRNPs, LSWs, and/or have received treatment by a professional in a licensed outpatient BH clinic? | | |
| Preparedness Level | ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Unknown/Unsure | | |
| Details | who have had a restrictive procedure plan writter these individuals that have been evaluated within For CY 2025, the minimum threshold for this meanumerator for this calculation will be number of i plan approved and enacted and who have also se served by the provider during the specified time p | ure only. Via Provider Data Submission Tool provider and in use at any time in calendar year 2024. Add a the past calendar year by a professional as deliner asure will be 100%. Providers will report in the same adviduals served by the provider during the specification approved the provider during the specification approved who had a restrictive procedure plan approved mission Tool; Documentation Review; HCSIS/EIM and mission Tool; Documentation Review | itionally, providers will report the subgroup of ated in the measure. e way as noted above for CY 2024. The ed time period who had a restrictive procedure ne denominator will be the number of individuals ed an enacted. |



PERFORMANCE AREA: Supporting Individuals with Complex Needs (Dual Diagnosis/Behavioral) (continued)

Standard: Demonstrate use of data to impact individual outcomes (continued)

| | Primary | Select | Clinically Enhanced |
|-----------------------|---------|---|---|
| Measure | | CN-DD/Bx.02.2 Demonstrate use of data to impace elements: law enforcement, restrictive procedures polypharmacy, target behavioral data, individuals' | s, inpatient, restraint, confirmed abuse/neglect, |
| Assessment Question | | Will your agency be able to demonstrate the use of data to impact individual outcomes (review to include all these elements: law enforcement, restrictive procedures, inpatient, restraint, confirmed abuse/neglect, polypharmacy, target behavioral data, individuals' satisfaction with services)? | |
| Preparedness Level | | ☐ My agency does not currently use data to assess individual outcomes regarding any of the specified categories and will be challenged to do so. ☐ My agency minimally uses data to assess individual outcomes regarding any of the specified categories and will be challenged to do more. ☐ My agency currently uses data to assess individual outcomes in most of the specified categories and can make improvements to address all. | |
| | | | |
| Details | | ☐ My agency currently uses data to assess individual outcomes all the specified categories. Via the Provider Data Submission Tool agencies will submit information on their use of data to impact of individual outcomes. Provider survey information will include detailed information regarding how data was gathered and how it was used to impact the outcome areas delineated the following: | |
| | | reduction in frequency of law enforcement invo Reduction in use of restrictive procedures | lvement |
| | | 3. reduction in both frequency and duration of inp | , |
| | | 4. reduction in both frequency and duration of ph 5. reduction in incidents of confirmed abuse/neg | |
| | | 6. reduction in polypharmacy | |
| | | 7. reduction in overall incidence of identified targ 8. increase in individual's overall satisfaction with | |
| | | Note: Providers will submit a sample of a currently used or proposed data analysis plan. Providers are encouraged to use EIM dashboards to support Incident Management data collection and analysis. Note: Provider submitted documentation as of February 15, 2025 Data Source: PBC Residential Provider Data Submission Tool; Documentation Review | |
| | | | |



PERFORMANCE AREA: Supporting Individuals with Complex Needs (Dual Diagnosis/Behavioral) (continued)

Standard: Demonstrated capacity to anticipate and deescalate crisis, when possible and, when not, to respond swiftly and effectively

| | Primary | Select | Clinically Enhanced |
|-----------------------|--|---|---|
| Measure | CN-DD/Bx.03.1 Provide description of agency capabilities for de-escalation and how provider anticipates and responds to a crisis for individuals. Include the following: Description of support/resources for DSPs and FLSs for crisis situations Curriculum-based crisis response training used by the agency Procedure for debriefing with staff and individuals after engagement in physical restraint | | |
| Assessment Question | Will your agency be able to describe its de-escal individual crisis situations? | ation capabilities, who receives crisis response trai | ning, and how you anticipate and respond to |
| Preparedness Level | My agency currently does not have specific strategies for anticipating, responding to, or de-escalating crisis situations and will be challenged to establish such approaches. My agency employs minimal strategies for anticipating, responding to, or de-escalating crisis situations but can make the requisite improvements. My agency is able to describe in detail our strategies for anticipating, responding to, or de-escalating crisis situations. | | |
| Details | | | |



PERFORMANCE AREA: Supporting Individuals with Complex Needs (Dual Diagnosis/Behavioral) (continued)

Standard: Demonstrate that when not able to anticipate and deescalate crisis, when possible and, when not, to respond swiftly and effectively (continued)

| | Primary | Select | Clinically Enhanced |
|-----------------------|---------|--|---------------------|
| Measure | | CN-DD/Bx.03.2 Documentation of specialized trauma-informed training/activities for individuals and staff. | |
| Assessment Question | | Will your agency be able to use and document trauma-informed training/activities for individuals and staff/employees? | |
| Preparedness Level | | □ My agency currently does not incorporate trauma-informed principles in training and activities, and it will be challenged to do so. □ My agency currently does not incorporate trauma-informed principles in training and activities but can make changes to use and document the principles. □ My agency incorporates some basics about trauma-informed principles in training, but we can expand the use of documentation in training and daily activities. □ My agency effectively incorporates and documents trauma-informed principles in all training and activities. | |
| Details | | Via Provider Data Submission Tool agencies will submit documentation indicating that specialized training on the topic of trauma-informed care has been made available to and provided for both individuals supported by the agency and staff employed by the agency. Submission must include at a minimum the name of training curriculum and the targeted audience. Note: Provider submitted documentation as of February 15, 2025 Data Source: PBC Residential Provider Data Submission Tool; Documentation Review | |



PERFORMANCE AREA: Supporting Individuals with Complex Needs (Dual Diagnosis/Behavioral) (continued)

Standard (Criteria Specific to Clinically Enhanced Behavioral Supports): Demonstrate that when not able to anticipate and deescalate crisis, when possible and, when not, to respond swiftly and effectively (continued)

| | Primary | Select | Clinically Enhanced |
|--------------|---------|--------|---|
| Measure | | | CN-DD/Bx.03.3 Documentation of crisis prevention and de-escalation training programs provided to all staff. (Examples of such programs include: Ukeru, CPI/CPS/Mandt System®, NonViolent Crisis Intervention Training, Positive Behavioral Interventions and Supports (PBIS), Safe and Positive Practices/Approaches, etc.) at the initial contracting or renewal date. |
| Assessment | | | Will your agency be able to document crisis |
| Question | | | prevention and de-escalation training programs available and provided for all staff? |
| Preparedness | | | ☐ Yes |
| Level | | | □ No |
| | | | ☐ Unknown/Unsure |
| Details | | | Via Provider Data Submission Tool providers will submit documentation of crisis prevention and de-escalation training programs that have been made available to all agency staff. Examples of typically accepted programs are indicated in the measure. Documentation must include: 1. The name of the program 2. Overview of topics/skills covered by the program 3. The number of staff fully trained in the program as of a specified date (DSPs, FLS, Program Specialists, Residential Directors (or equivalents for these positions); clinical staff included in the ratio calculation for CN-C.01.4 4. Agency plan to ensure new staff are trained after hire, |
| | | | and existing staff are recertified per program requirements. Note: Provider submitted documentation as of February 15, 2025 Data Source: PBC Residential Provider Data Submission Tool; Documentation Review |



PERFORMANCE AREA: Supporting Individuals with Complex Needs (Medical)

Standard: (Criteria Specific to Clinically Enhanced Medical Supports): Residential program has a demonstrated sufficient ratio (employed or contracted) of licensed clinical staff and/or staff credentialed by a nationally recognized credentialing program, which is approved by ODP, to meet the medical needs of individuals served in the program.

| | Primary | Select | Clinically Enhanced |
|--------------|---------|--------|---|
| Measure | | | CN-M.01.1 Attest that the provider meets the 1915(c) waiver requirements for serving individuals with a medically complex condition. |
| Assessment | | | Will your agency be able to attest that it meets |
| Question | | | the medically complex standards reflected in the 1915(c)-waiver application? (Pages 112-3) |
| Preparedness | | | ☐ Yes |
| Level | | | □ No |
| | | | ☐ Unknown/Unsure |
| Details | | | Provider will attest to compliance with |
| | | | qualifications for serving individuals with a medically complex condition as defined in |
| | | | 1915(c) waivers. |
| | | | Note: Provider does not have to be currently |
| | | | supporting an individual with a medically |
| | | | complex condition at the time of tier |
| | | | determination. |
| | | | Data Source: Provider Attestation; Validation occurs during future PQ cycle |



PERFORMANCE AREA: Supporting Individuals with Complex Needs (Medical) (continued)

Standard: (Criteria Specific to Clinically Enhanced Medical Supports): Residential program has a demonstrated sufficient ratio (employed or contracted) of licensed clinical staff and/or staff credentialed by a nationally recognized credentialing program, which is approved by ODP, to meet the medical needs of individuals served in the program (continued)

| | Primary | Select | Clinically Enhanced |
|-----------------------|---------|--------|--|
| Measure | | | CN-M.01.2 For Children with Medically Complex Conditions demonstrated use of targeted resources including pediatric complex care resource centers (PCCRC), Health Care Quality Units (HCQU), home care services, support systems for families, use of family facilitator, and/or Special Needs Unit. |
| Assessment Question | | | Will your agency be able to demonstrate the use of targeted resources for children with Medically Complex Conditions such as the pediatric complex care resource centers, HCQUs, home care services, support systems for families, use of family facilitator? |
| Preparedness Level | | | □ My agency currently cannot demonstrate the use of targeted resources for children with Medically Complex Conditions. □ My agency demonstrates some use of targeted resources for children with Medically Complex Conditions. □ My agency demonstrates the use of targeted resources for children with Medically Complex Conditions but has identified areas for improvement. □ My agency effectively demonstrates use of targeted resources for children with Medically Complex Conditions. |
| Details | | | Via Provider Data Submission Tool provider will detail use of targeted resources for supporting Children with Medically Complex Conditions, including Pediatric Complex Care Resource Centers (PCCRS), Health Care Quality Units (HCQU), home care services, support systems for families, use of family facilitator and/or Special Needs Unit |



| Note: This is only applicable to Clinically Enhanced providers |
|--|
| supporting Children with Medically Complex Conditions. |
| Provider does not need to be currently supporting a Child with |
| Medically Complex Conditions at the time of tier |
| determination. |
| Note: Provider submitted documentation of evidence or |
| description of use of targeted resources as of February 15, |
| 2025 |
| Data Source: PBC Residential Provider Data Submission Tool; |



PERFORMANCE AREA: Referral and Discharge Practices

Standard: Service initiation occurs within an average of 90 days or less post-referral acceptance for Community Homes and an Average of 180 days or less post-referral acceptance for Supported Living and Life Sharing.

| | Primary | Select | Clinically Enhanced Select |
|--------------|--|--------|----------------------------|
| Measure | RD.01.1 Attest that by January 1, 2025, a system is in place to accurately track and report all of the following: All referrals for residential services by type and determination of acceptance or rejection. Time to service initiation from date of referral acceptance to date of service start by residential service type. Number of referrals denied and reason (age, gender, clinical needs, location/geography, vacancy status workforce). Number of provider-initiated discharges, setting to which individual was discharged, and reason for discharge(s). Circumstances under which an individual(s) was not returned to their home post discharge from an inpatient, skilled nursing, or rehabilitation facility or release from incarceration, including a summary of the planning, coordination, and accommodation efforts undertaken and the remaining barriers that resulted in the provider's inability to return the individual to their home. | | |
| Assessment | Will your agency be able to attest that a | | |
| Question | system will be in place on January 1, 2025, to | | |
| Ç | track and report time to service after post- | | |
| | referral acceptance? | | |
| Preparedness | ☐ Yes | | |
| Level | □ No | | |
| | ☐ Unknown/Unsure | | |



PERFORMANCE AREA: Referral and Discharge Practices (continued)

Standard: Service initiation occurs within an average of 90 days or less post-referral acceptance for Community Homes and an Average of 180 days or less post-referral acceptance for Supported Living and Life Sharing.

Measure (continued): RD.01.1 Attest that by January 1, 2025, a system is in place to accurately track and report [referral and discharge practices]

| Primary | Select | Clinically Enhanced |
|--|--------|---------------------|
| Details 1. The provider is responsible to develop and implement a system that meets all of the below requirements by no later than January 1, 2025. For tier determination, the provider will submit attestation of completion of system to track service initiation. 2. Beginning 1/1/25, provider will begin documenting and tracking receipt of all referrals received and accepted for residential services (community home, Life Sharing and Supported Living). Including the following information: a. All referrals for residential services received, by type and determination of acceptance or rejection. b. Time to service initiation from date of referral acceptance to date of service start by residential service type. c. Report number of provider-initiated discharges to other residential providers or ICFs and document reason for discharge(s). d. Report number of referrals denied, and document reason (age, gender, clinical needs, location/geography, vacancy status workforce) 3. Provide related referral data measuring the average days for all referrals for the reporting time period requested by ODP beginning with CY2025 data. Note: Starting in January 2026, Primary providers may not accept NEW referrals for individuals NG5 or greater. This does not apply to individuals NG5 or greater receiving residential services prior to January 1, 2026, or individuals where the needs assessment results in an increase Data Source: Provider Attestation | | |



PERFORMANCE AREA: Referral and Discharge Practices (continued)

Standard: Service initiation occurs within an average of 90 days or less post-referral acceptance for Community Homes and an Average of 180 days or less post-referral acceptance for Supported Living and Life Sharing (continued)

| | Primary | Select | Clinically Enhanced |
|-----------------------|---------|---|---|
| Measure | | RD.01.2 Serve a minimum of 10 individuals in residential services during the review period. Residential service providers serving a minimum of 10 individuals for the review period must attest that a system will be in place beginning January 1, 2025, to report current average days for service initiation. Providers serving less than 10 individuals on January 1, 2025, will not be eligible for Select or Clinically Enhanced tiers. | |
| Assessment Question | | Is your agency able to attest that it supports at le review period? | east 10 individuals in residential services for the |
| Preparedness Level | | ☐ Yes ☐ No | |
| Assessment Question | | Is your agency able to attest a system will be in place beginning January 1, 2025, to report current average days for service initiation | |
| Preparedness Level | | ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Unknown/Unsure | |
| Details | | Prior to 1/1/2025, Select and Clinically Enhance residential services will submit attestation of cor Note : Providers serving less than 10 individuals Clinically Enhanced tiers Note : Select and Clinically Enhanced providers Motes Group Data Source: Provider Attestation, Claims (ODP of | mpletion of system to track service initiation. on January 1, 2025, will not be eligible for Select or May accept NEW referrals for individuals of any |



PERFORMANCE AREA: Referral and Discharge Practices (continued)

Standard: Service initiation occurs within an average of 90 days or less post-referral acceptance for Community Homes and an Average of 180 days or less post-referral acceptance for Supported Living and Life Sharing (continued)

| | Primary | Select | Clinically Enhanced |
|------------------------|---------|--|--|
| Assessment Question | | is in place beginning January 1, 2025, to accurate All referrals for residential services by type and Time to service initiation from date of referral acservice type. Description of each circumstance in which 90-dand 180-day timeline is not met for Life Sharing a Number of referrals denied and document reasing eography, vacancy status workforce) Number of provider-initiated discharges, setting for discharge(s) Circumstances under which an individual(s) was an inpatient, skilled nursing, or rehabilitation facil summary of the planning, coordination, and accordinatiors that resulted in the provider's inability to | determination of acceptance or rejection acceptance to date of service start by residential and timeline is not met for Residential Habilitation and Supported Living on (age, gender, clinical needs, location/ to which individual was discharged, and reason and returned to their home post discharge from ity or release from incarceration, including a mmodation efforts undertaken and the remaining return the individual to their home. |
| Preparedness Level | | ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Unknown/Unsure | |



PERFORMANCE AREA: Referral and Discharge Practices (continued)

Standard: Service initiation occurs within an average of 90 days or less post-referral acceptance for Community Homes and an Average of 180 days or less post-referral acceptance for Supported Living and Life Sharing (continued)

Measure (continued): RD.01.3 Demonstrate timeliness of response to referrals and service initiation: Attest that a system will be in place beginning January 1, 2025, to accurately track and report [referral and discharge practices]

| | Primary | Select | Clinically Enhanced |
|---------|---------|--|---------------------|
| Details | | The provider is responsible to develop and implement a system that meets all of the below requirements by no later than January 1, 2025. For tier determination, the provider will submit attestation of completion of system to track service initiation. Beginning 1/1/25, provider will begin documenting and tracking receipt of all referrals received and accepted for residential services (community home, Life Sharing and Supported Living). Including the following information: All referrals for residential services received, by type and determination of acceptance or rejection. Time to service initiation from date of referral acceptance to date of service start by residential service type. Report number of provider-initiated discharges to other residential providers or ICFs and document reason for discharge(s). Report number of referrals denied, and document reason (age, gender, clinical needs, location/geography, vacancy status workforce) Provider will provide related referral data measuring the average days for all referrals for the reporting time period requested by ODP beginning with CY2025 data. a. For community homes, the average time for service initiation from the referral date should be no more than 90 calendar days. b. For supported living and life sharing, the average time of service initiation from referral date should be no more than 180 calendar days. Note: The 90/180-day expectation is an average and for initial contracting period is provider report only. Data Source: Provider Attestation | |
| | | | |



PERFORMANCE AREA: Data Management — Collection and use of data in Quality Management (QM) activities, and timely reporting of data to ODP, Administrative Entity (AE), and PAS Vendor

Standard: Demonstrated production of data reports (including ad hoc) through adopted technology platform

| | Primary | Select | Clinically Enhanced |
|-----------------------|---|--|--|
| Measure | DM.01.1 Submit completed test case file in format required by ODP. | DM.01.2 Provide a sample of operational report or quality report used for internal monitoring and implementation of QM initiatives that includes a written description of use and analysis of data from at least one of the following categories: incidents, medication errors, health risks, restrictive procedures, staff retention, effectiveness of behavioral support, employment, Information Sharing and Advisory Committee recommendation strategies, billing accuracy. | |
| Assessment Question | Will your agency be able to submit a completed test case file in the format required by ODP? | Will your agency be able to provide one sample of internal monitoring and implementation of QM init | , |
| Preparedness Level | ☐ My agency cannot submit a completed test case file in the format required by ODP and will be challenged to establish one. ☐ My agency does not have a completed test case file in the format required by ODP but can readily establish and operationalize one. ☐ My agency can submit a completed test case file in the format required by ODP. | □ My agency does not have an operational or qualimplementation of QM initiatives and will be challe □ My agency does not have an operational or qualimplementation of QM initiatives but can readily e □ My agency has at least one operational or qualimplementation of QM initiatives. | enged to establish one. ality report used for internal monitoring and stablish and operationalize one. |
| Details | Successful submission of data and documentation via QuestionPro meets the measure. Data Source: QuestionPro | Via Provider Data Submission Tool, providers will use. Note: Provider submitted documentation as of February Parameters and Provider Data Submission Tool, provider Submission Tool, provider Submission Tool, provider Data Submission Tool, provider Data Submission Tool, provider Data Submission Tool, provider Data Submission Tool, provider Submission Tool, provider Submission Tool, providers will use the provider Data Submission Tool, provider Data | oruary 15, 2025 |



PERFORMANCE AREA: Data Management — use of electronic health records (EHRs)

Standard: Demonstrated data capability with use of a HIPAA-compliant EHR

| | Primary | Select | Clinically Enhanced |
|-----------------------|---------|---|--|
| Measure | | DM.02 Report the EHR in use and what functions of the software are utilized (e.g., that includes medication records, physician notes, integrated care pathways, etc.) and demonstrated use of EHR. | |
| | | Through June 30, 2026, minimum requirement is | electronic medication administration records. |
| Assessment Question | | Will your agency be able to report the EHR in use, a that includes medication records, physician notes, Ir use of EHR? | |
| Preparedness Level | | My agency meets the minimum requirement of records | |
| | | \square My agency does not use an EHR and would be | |
| | | ☐ My agency does not have an EHR but can readi | |
| | | ☐ My agency has an EHR but does not fully use th | |
| | | ☐ My agency has an EHR and is able to report on its use | |
| Details | | Via Provider Data Submission Tool, providers will report information regarding EHR and provide evidence of use. | |
| | | A key feature of an Electronic Health Record (EHR) | |
| | | providers. A full description of an EHR can be four | |
| | | electronic-health-record-ehr. For the first contract | |
| | | medication administration system sufficient to me | |
| | | communicate with third parties (pharmacy or phys | |
| | | Note: O Beginning July 1, 2026, EHR capability m | ust include external third-party communication |
| | | (e.g., pharmacy, physician). | |
| | | Data Source: PBC Residential Provider Data Subm | nission Tool; Documentation review |



PERFORMANCE AREA: Risk Management — Incident Reporting Fidelity

Standard: Demonstrated fidelity to incident management procedures as required by current regulations,1915(c) waivers and ODP policy

| | Primary | Select Clinically Enhanced | |
|-----------------------|---|---|--|
| Measure | RM-IM.01.1 No additional standards from current regulation, 1915(c) home and community-based waivers and ODP policy | RM-IM.01.1 The provider demonstrates reporting fidelity: The maximum number of incidents (potentially indicative of abuse or neglect) not reported may not exceed 1% of overall reported incidents by provider, at initial contracting or renewal looking back at the prior calendar year. | |
| Assessment Question | | Is your agency operating and reporting such that the maximum number of unreported critical incidents does not exceed 1% of overall reported incidents by provider? | |
| Preparedness Level | | ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Unknown/Unsure | |
| Details | | □ No | |



PERFORMANCE AREA: Risk Management — Incident Reporting Fidelity (continued)

Standard: Demonstrated fidelity to incident management procedures as required by current regulations, 1915(c) waivers and ODP policy (continued)

| | Primary | Select | Clinically Enhanced |
|-----------------------|---------|---|---------------------|
| Measure | | RM-IM.01.2 Provider demonstrates reporting fidelity: Maximum number of incidents not reported timely may not exceed 10% of overall reported incidents by provider. | |
| Assessment Question | | Is your agency operating and reporting such that the maximum number of untimely reported incidents does not exceed 10% of the overall reported incidents? | |
| Preparedness Level | | ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Unknown/Unsure | |
| Details | | □ Unknown/Unsure 1. At the MPI level, the number of incidents reported "Late" per Provider during the specified calendar year is calculated using the data element "First Section Compliance Status". Only incidents with a status of "Open" or "Closed" are included. Incidents with a status of "Deleted" are excluded. 2. At the MPI level, the number of incidents reported per Provider during the specified calendar year is calculated using the "Discovery Date." Only incidents with a status of "Open" or "Closed" are included, and incidents with a status of "Deleted" are excluded. 3. Each MPI now has been associated with the number of incidents that had a late First Section document, as well as the total number of incidents they have entered for the associated calendar year (total number of incidents reported inherently INCLUDES the number of incidents that had late First Section documents). 4. A percentage of late incidents is calculated per MPI: [NUMBER OF LATE INCIDENTS] / [TOTAL NUMBER OF INCIDENTS REPORTED] * 100 = PERCENTAGE OF LATE INCIDENTS. EXAMPLE: MPI 123456789: [5 LATE INCIDENTS] / [10 TOTAL INCIDENTS REPORTED] * 100 = 50% OF INCIDENTS REPORTED LATE Note: providers submitting in February-March 2025 will be evaluated using CY24 data. Data Source: Claims; ODP Incident Management System/EIM | |



PERFORMANCE AREA: Risk Management — Incident Reporting Fidelity (continued)

Standard: Demonstrated fidelity to incident management procedures as required by current regulations, 1915(c) waivers and ODP policy (continued)

| | Primary | Select | Clinically Enhanced |
|-----------------------|---------|---|--|
| Measure | | RM-IM.01.3 Timely finalization of incidents is demonstrated by: At least 86% of incidents are finalized within 30 days of discovery. | |
| Assessment Question | | Is your agency operating and reporting such that at least 90% of incidents are finalized within 30 days of discovery? | |
| Preparedness Level | | ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Unknown/Unsure | |
| Details | | 1. At the MPI level, the number of incidents report calendar year is calculated using the data element incidents with a status of "Open" or "Closed" are in excluded. 2. At the MPI level, the number of incidents report year is calculated using the "Discovery Date." Only included, and incidents with a status of "Deleted" 3. Each MPI now has been associated with the number of calendar year (total number of incidents reported that had a compliant Final Section document). 4. A percentage of incidents finalized timely is call TIMELY FINALIZATION] / [TOTAL NUMBER OF INCIDINCIDENTS FINALIZED TIMELY EXAMPLE: MPI 123456789: [5 INCIDENTS WITH TIMELY FIN 100 = 50% INCIDENTS FINALIZED TIMELY Note: Providers are encouraged to use EIM dashb collection and analysis. Note: P submitting in February-March 2025 will be Note: For tier determinations made in 2025, the total pata Source: ODP Incident Management System, | at "Final Section Compliance Status". Only included. Incidents with a status of "Deleted" are steed per Provider during the specified calendary incidents with a status of "Open" or "Closed" are are excluded. Imber of incidents that had a Compliant Final incidents they have entered for the associated inherently INCLUDES the number of incidents Culated per MPI: [NUMBER OF INCIDENTS WITH DENTS REPORTED] * 100 = PERCENTAGE ALIZATION] / [10 TOTAL INCIDENTS REPORTED] * oards to support Incident Management data the evaluated using CY24 data. hreshold will temporarily be lowered to 86%. for tier determinations made in 2027. |



PERFORMANCE AREA: Risk Management — Incident Reporting Fidelity (continued)

Standard: Demonstrated fidelity to incident management procedures as required by current regulations, 1915(c) waivers and ODP policy (continued)

PERFORMANCE AREA: Risk Management — Incident Reporting Fidelity (continued)

Standard: Demonstrated fidelity to incident management procedures as required by current regulations, 1915(c) waivers and ODP policy (continued)

Measure (continued): RM-IM.01.4 At least 95% of all incidents must be finalized by the due date, and the due date may only exceed 30 days in no more than 5% of those incidents (due dates may exceed 30 days when the provider has notified the Department in writing that an extension is necessary and the reason for the extension).

| | Primary | Select | Clinically Enhanced |
|------------------------|---------|--------|--|
| Details (continued) | | | e than 30 days from the Discovery Date of the NUMBER OF INCIDENTS WITH TIMELY UMBER OF INCIDENTS FINALIZED TIMELY] * 100 TH AN EXTENSION IALIZATION AND EXTENSION] / [10 TOTAL FIDENTS FINALIZED TIMELY WITH AN EXTENSION then the reporting entity submits the final section these are only impacted by the reporting entity. I section is approved by ODP. Proposed to support Incident Management data D UNTIL FY 2027-2028 USING CALENDAR YEAR |



PERFORMANCE AREA: Risk Management — health risk screening tool (HRST) fidelity

Standard: Demonstrated capacity to properly and timely assess individuals

| | Primary | Select | Clinically Enhanced |
|------------------------|---|---|--|
| Measure | RM-HRS.01.1 Current Health Risk Screenings (H the initial contracting or renewal date. | RS) are in place for all individuals including applicab | ole assessments indicated by HRST protocol, at |
| Assessment Question | Will your agency be able to demonstrate that cur protocol? | rent HRSTs are in place for all individuals, including | applicable assessments, indicated by HRST |
| Preparedness Level | □ My agency is not using the HRST, including applicable assessments, for all individuals supported and will be challenged to do so. □ My agency is using the HRST, including applicable assessments, for some individuals supported and will be able to modify our processes to do so. □ My agency is using the HRST, including applicable assessments, for most individuals supported and will improve to 100%. □ My agency is effectively using the HRST, including applicable assessments, for all individuals supported. | | |
| Details | The HRST for each individual being served will rewithin the past 365 days. If a Clinical Review concurrent. This data will be obtained via the Stand Compliance > Record Activity. The Record Activity. First Name • Last Name • MCI #, Provider Name, SCO, Health Care Level • Last HRST Update Date • Last Medication Update Date • Last DX Update Date A blank value in any of the data fields indicates the | | al screening or an updated screening completed ted in order for the HRST to be considered d List Page. The pathway is Standard Reports > |



PERFORMANCE AREA: Risk Management — health risk screening tool (HRST) fidelity (continued)

Standard: Demonstrated capacity to properly and timely assess individuals (continued)

| | Primary | Select | Clinically Enhanced |
|-----------------------|---------|--|---------------------|
| Measure | | RM-HRS.01.2 Demonstrate use of HRS data and considerations to improve individual health/outcomes as of as of January 1, 2025. | |
| Assessment Question | | Will your agency be able to demonstrate that data is used to make recommendations about and improve health/outcomes for individuals supported? | |
| Preparedness Level | | □ My agency is not collecting or using data to inform individual health/outcomes and will be challenged to do so. □ My agency collects some data regarding individual health and does not use data to inform recommendations or outcomes but can modify our data collection to do so. □ My agency is collecting a moderate amount of data and can make improvements to demonstrate its use to inform individual health/outcomes. □ My agency is effectively collecting, using, and reporting data regarding recommendations for and improvements to individual health/outcomes | |
| Details | | Via the Provider Data Submission Tool provider will detail the use of data and considerations from available sources to improve individual health outcomes. Provider information will detail the types of data used as well as the manner in which the data has been applied in pursuit of improved health outcomes. Note: The considerations referenced in the measure are generated when the HRST is completed. Note: Providers are encouraged to use canned and custom reports available through HRS Online. Note:Providers should reference the HRST protocol relative to implementing considerations. Data Source: PBC Residential Provider Data Submission Tool; | |



PERFORMANCE AREA: Employment — rate of competitive integrated employment (CIE) for working age participants, adjusted for acuity

Standard: Demonstrated support of individuals to seek and obtain CIE

| | Primary | Select | Clinically Enhanced |
|-----------------------|---|--|---|
| Measure | EMP.01.1 Demonstrate tracking of CIE and percer | ntage of working-age individuals (18-64) with CIE. | |
| Assessment Question | Will your agency be able to demonstrate tracking employed? | of CIE, including the percentage of people support | ed who are working age (18-64) and competitively |
| Preparedness Level | ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Unknown/Unsure | | |
| Details | Via Provider Data Submission Tool, residential pro age individuals (18-64) with CIE being supported submit a written description of the process for tra- communicating with Supports Coordinators about Note: CIE performance data by residential provide Data Source: HCSIS; Claims; SC Monitoring Tool for | through waiver-funded residential services for eacl cking employment outcomes throughout the year, a any changes in the employment status of any indi r will be published annually (acuity data will be inc | n calendar year. Providers must also annually and a written description of the process for vidual receiving residential services. |



PERFORMANCE AREA: Employment — rate of competitive integrated employment (CIE) for working age participants, adjusted for acuity (continued)

Standard: Demonstrated support of individuals to seek and obtain CIE (continued)

| | Primary | Select | Clinically Enhanced |
|---------------------|--|--------|---------------------|
| Measure | EMP.01.2 Plan for improvement of CIE | | |
| Assessment Question | Will your agency be able to develop a plan for the improvement of CIE among people supported? | | |
| Preparedness | ☐ Yes ☐ No | | |
| Level | ☐ Unknown/Unsure | | |
| Details | Via the Provider Data Submission Tool, residential providers will annually report on their plan for improving CIE. Plans must include the following elements at a minimum: Action items and/or measurable targets for improving CIE, responsible person(s), goal date for achieving each target/action item, progress made toward achieving each target/action item when applicable, describe the structure/ communication plan with the individual's Supports Coordinator to ensure employment information is up to date and accurate, and completion date when applicable. Note: CIE performance data by residential provider will be published annually (acuity data will be included). Data Source: PBC Residential Provider Data Submission Tool and documentation submission | | |



PERFORMANCE AREA: Use of Remote Support Technology

Standard: Demonstrated use of technology to improve health and wellness, address workforce issues, and create additional opportunities to increase independence for individuals

| | Primary | Select | Clinically Enhanced |
|--------------|---|---|---------------------|
| Measure | RST.01.1 Report the type(s) of remote support technology in use | | |
| Assessment | Will your agency be able to report on the types of | remote technology in use? | |
| Question | | | |
| Preparedness | ☐ Yes | | |
| Level | □ No | | |
| | , | | |
| Details | Include only technology that alerts off-site staff a 1. Two-way real-time audio-video communication 2. Audio only, no video communication devices 3. Sensors (ex. doorways and windows, kitchen d 4. Wearable technology (ex. Smartwatch, glucose 5. Medication dispensers 6. A main hub connecting remote supports techn 7. The use of software designed to provide remot 8. Audio-video devices that record service deliver 9. Devices for controlling the residential environn 10. Contract with an outside vendor to provide re 11. Contract with an outside vendor to provide re 12. Contract with an outside vendor to provide re | 3. Sensors (ex. doorways and windows, kitchen devices, pressure, motion)4. Wearable technology (ex. Smartwatch, glucose monitor) | |



PERFORMANCE AREA: Use of Remote Support Technology (continued)

Standard: Demonstrated use of technology to improve health and wellness, address workforce issues, and create additional opportunities to increase independence for individuals (continued)

| | Primary | Select | Clinically Enhanced |
|------------------------|---|--|---------------------|
| Measure | RST.01.2 Report number and percentage of individuals using remote support technology. | | |
| Assessment Question | Will your agency be able to report on the number and percentage of individuals using remote support technology? | | |
| Preparedness Level | ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Unknown/Unsure | | |
| Details | To establish baseline data, report the total number of individuals who used remote supports within the previous calendar year. Note: Provider submitted documentation as of February 15, 2025. Data Source: PBC Residential Provider Data Submission Tool | | |
| Measure | RST.01.3 Report estimated direct care hours that | are being redirected with the use of technology. | |
| Assessment Question | Will your agency be able to estimate direct care hours that are being redirected with the use of technology? | | |
| Preparedness Level | ☐ My agency does not track the number of direct care hours redirected with the use of technology and will be challenged to do so. ☐ My agency has basic information on the amount of direct care hours redirected through the use of technology supports but can make improvements for more accurate estimates. ☐ My agency collects and uses detailed data on the number of direct care hours redirected with the use of technology and can readily provide this information to ODP. | | |
| Details | | | |



PERFORMANCE AREA: Use of Remote Support Technology (continued)

Standard: Demonstrated use of technology to improve health and wellness, address workforce issues, and create additional opportunities to increase independence for individuals (continued)

| | Primary | Select | Clinically Enhanced |
|-----------------------|--|---|---|
| Measure | RST.01.4 Report if the provider has savings as a result of the use of remote supports and include how the agency is using these value-based savings to invest in the organization including improvements to workforce, service delivery, etc. | | |
| Assessment Question | Will your agency be able to report on how you involved value-based savings? | ested in your organization and made improvement | s in the workforce, service delivery, etc. due to |
| Preparedness Level | ☐ Yes☐ No☐ Unknown/Unsure | | |
| Details | Direct Support Professionals and Frontline Supervisors are a primary cost driver of residential rates. When remote supports are utilized in residential services, ODP allows providers to bill at the established residential rate. Please report if there are cost savings, how are you using these value-based savings to invest in your organization resulting in improvements to workforce, service delivery, etc. Data Source: PBC Residential Provider Data Submission Tool | | |
| Measure | RST.01.5 Report number of employees and/or contracted entities have Assistive Technology Professional certificates from Rehabilitation Engineering and Assistive Technology Society of North America (RESNA) or Enabling Technology Integration Specialist (SHIFT) certification at the initial contracting or renewal date. | | |
| Assessment Question | Will your agency be able to report on the number of employees and/or contracted entities that have Assistive Technology Professional certificates from Rehabilitation Engineering and Assistive Technology Society of North America (RESNA) or Enabling Technology Integration Specialist (SHIFT) certifications? | | |
| Preparedness Level | ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Unknown/Unsure | | |
| Details | Report number of employees and/or contracted entities that have Assistive Technology Professional certificates from Rehabilitation Engineering and Assistive Technology Society of North America (RESNA) or Enabling Technology Integration Specialist (SHIFT) certifications: Assistive Technology Professional (ATP)/RESNA Enabling Technology Integration Specialist (ETIS) /SHIFT: Note: Provider submitted documentation as of February 15, 2025 Data Source: PBC Residential Provider Data Submission Tool | | |



PERFORMANCE AREA: Regulatory Compliance

Standard: Demonstrated regulatory compliance with 55 Pa. Code Chapters 6100, 6400 and 6500, as applicable

| | Primary | Select | Clinically Enhanced |
|------------------------|--|--|---|
| Measure | RC.01 Maintain regular license status (i.e., a license status homes that require licensure. | nse that is not on provisional status or operating pe | ending appeal of a license revocation) for all |
| Assessment Question | My agency acknowledges the expectation that it must maintain a regular license on all residential homes, as required, and that provisional or revoked licensure status places that as Tiered Conditional with monitoring per the current ODP licensing requirements. | | |
| Preparedness Level | ☐ My agency acknowledges requisite licensing requirements for all residential homes. | | |
| Details | information is public record, so it could also be se Note: Providers who appeal department's decision | orogram sanctions are currently distributed to all AE ent over provider listservs or to any interested party on to issue provisional / revoke license does not sta e on provisional or revoked status are categorized a | y decision to place provider in Conditional Status. |



PERFORMANCE AREA: Quality

Standard: Demonstrated commitment to wellness of individuals through targeted activities

| | Primary | Select | Clinically Enhanced |
|------------------------|--|--------|---------------------|
| Measure | QI.01.1 Description of how the provider coordinates wellness activities including use of HRS data for residential program participants | | |
| Assessment Question | Will your agency be able to attest and describe how it coordinates wellness activities for individuals supported? | | |
| Preparedness Level | ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Unknown/Unsure | | |
| Assessment Question | Will your agency be able to attest and describe how it uses HRS data to inform wellness activities for residential program participants? | | |
| Preparedness Level | ☑ My agency is not collecting or using HRS data to inform wellness activities of individuals supported and will be challenged to do so. ☑ My agency collects and uses some HRS data to inform wellness activities of individuals supported but can modify our practices to do so. ☑ My agency is moderately using HRS data to inform wellness activities of individuals supported and can improve in this area. ☑ My agency is effectively using HRS data to inform wellness activities of individuals supported. | | |



PERFORMANCE AREA: Quality (continued)

Standard: Demonstrated commitment to wellness of individuals through targeted activities (continued)

Measure (continued): QI.01.1 Provide a description of how the provider coordinates wellness activities including use of HRS data for residential program participants, at the initial contracting or renewal date

| | Primary | Select | Clinically Enhanced |
|---------|--|--------|---------------------|
| Details | Via Provider Data Submission Tool providers will describe the process by which wellness activities are coordinated for individuals. Provider will include a description of wellness activities that simultaneously support inclusion. This description will include the use of HRST data in determining and executing wellness activities for residential program participants. Note: Providers are encouraged to use canned and custom reports available through HRS Online. Note: Provider submitted documentation of evidence or description of use of targeted resources as of February 15, 2025 Data Source: PBC Residential Provider Data Submission Tool and/or Documentation Review | | |



PERFORMANCE AREA: Quality (continued)

Standard: Demonstrated commitment to wellness of individuals through targeted activities (continued)

| | Primary | Select | Clinically Enhanced |
|-----------------------|---------|--|---------------------|
| Measure | | QI.01.2 Provider is utilizing the individuals' collective HRS data to create and conduct wellness programs/activities | |
| Assessment Question | | Is your agency using collective HRST data to create and conduct wellness programs/activities? | |
| Preparedness Level | | ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Unknown/Unsure | |
| Details | | Via Provider Data Submission Tool providers will detail the use of aggregate data during the previous calendar year to identify trends and concerns which may limit wellness of the individuals served by the Provider. This information may be identified using the HRST via Standard Reports for Persons Served including but not limited to the sections on Diagnoses, Distribution, Health Tracker, Medications, and Special Conditions. The Provider may also generate Custom Reports via the HRST to identify other data to assess. Note: Providers are encouraged to use canned and custom reports available through HRS Online. Note: Providers submitting in February-March 2025 will be evaluated using CY24 data Data Source: PBC Residential Provider Data Submission Tool and Documentation Review | |



PERFORMANCE AREA: Quality (continued)

Standard: Demonstrated commitment to wellness of individuals through targeted activities (continued)

| | Primary | Select | Clinically Enhanced |
|-----------------------|---------|---|---|
| Measure | | QI.01.3 Provider is Implementing directed wellness programs for nutrition, hypertension, mental health, diabetes, and/or heart disease, etc. as indicated by HRS data | |
| Assessment Question | | Is your agency implementing directed wellness programs for nutrition, hypertension, mental health, diabetes, and/or heart disease, etc. as indicated by HRS data. | |
| Preparedness Level | | □ My agency does not use HRST data to inform dit to do so. □ My agency minimally uses HRST data to inform improvements to address the specific areas of nurand/or heart condition. □ My agency can demonstrate effective use of HF all specified health areas. | directed wellness programs and can make trition, hypertension, mental health, diabetes, |
| Details | | Via Provider Data Submission Tool, providers trends identified in QI.01.2 are being address Provider will include a description of wellness Note: All wellness programs are to be person-cent Note: Providers submitting in February-March 202 Data Source: PBC Residential Provider Data Subm | ed through wellness-related QM initiatives. activities that simultaneously support inclusion ered and data-informed. 25 will be evaluated using CY24 data |



PERFORMANCE AREA: Quality (continued)

Standard: Demonstrated commitment to wellness of individuals through targeted activities (continued)

| | Primary | Select | Clinically Enhanced |
|-----------------------|---------|---|---|
| Measure | | QI.01.4 The provider is monitoring progress on wellness-related QM initiatives to demonstrate improvement over time (e.g., A1C, medication reduction) OR demonstrated uptake/engagement in provider wellness programs, at initial contracting or renewal looking back at the prior calendar year. | |
| Assessment Question | | Is your agency monitoring progress on wellness-re over time (e.g., A1C, medication reduction) OR der wellness programs? | |
| Preparedness Level | | ☐ Yes☐ No☐ Unknown/Unsure | |
| Details | | Via Provider Data Submission Tool, providers will obeing addressed in QI.01.3 are being monitored for including but not limited to Hemoglobin A1C, Body Tobacco Use. Alternatively, the Provider may detail wellness programs including but not limited to head Move Your Way campaign, tobacco/nicotine cessa Note: Providers submitting in February-March 202 Data Source: PBC Residential Provider Data Subm | or change over time based on measurable factors of Mass Index, Reduction in Polypharmacy, I the extent of engagement of individuals in althy food choices, physical activity such as the action, health literacy. 25 will be evaluated using CY24 data |



PERFORMANCE AREA: Quality (continued)

Standard: Demonstrated commitment to continuous quality improvement and demonstrated embracing of building a culture of quality (continuous learning and best use of data to assess progress toward quality management plan (QMP) goals and action plan target objectives)

| | Primary | Select | Clinically Enhanced |
|-----------------------|---|---|---|
| Measure | QI.02.1 Report number of staff that have ODP QM certification; include number in leadership | | |
| Assessment | Will your agency be able to report the number of staff and leadership that have ODP QM Certification? | | |
| Question | | | |
| Preparedness | ☐ Yes | | |
| Level | □ No | | |
| | ☐ Unknown/Unsure | | |
| Details | Via Provider Data Submission Tool, residential providers will annually report the total number of their staff, including names and titles, that have current ODP QM certification and, of those, the number of staff who are in a leadership role. Provider reported information will be confirmed using the ODP QM Certified Tracking Spreadsheet maintained by ODP's QM Division and updated after each new QM certification class and at the beginning of each calendar year to capture successful QM recertifications. If there is a discrepancy between provider reported information and ODP's QM Certified Tracking Spreadsheet, the residential provider will be engaged after the tier determination period to reconcile the discrepancy. Note: Report number of staff that have ODP QM certification as of February 1, 2025. Data Source: PBC Residential Provider Data Submission Tool with Confirmation from ODP QM Certified Tracking Spreadsheet (maintained by QMD) | | |
| Measure | QI.02.2 Provide a description of how data is utili | zed to monitor progress towards QM plan goals | |
| Assessment Question | Will your agency be able to describe how data is used to monitor progress towards QM plan goals? | | |
| Preparedness Level | ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Unknown/Unsure | | |
| Details | towards QM plan goals in their organization. Idea way of ongoing data monitoring and analysis and sources, frequency of data monitoring, review, a | ebruary 15, 2025 | the organization uses data to improve quality, by at a minimum, what data is used from which data ent are selected, how person-centered |



PERFORMANCE AREA: Quality (continued)

Standard: Demonstrated commitment to continuous quality improvement and demonstrated embracing of building a culture of quality (continuous learning and best use of data to assess progress toward quality management plan (QMP) goals and action plan target objectives) (continued)

| | Primary | Select | Clinically Enhanced |
|-----------------------|--|--------|---------------------|
| Measure | QI.02.3 Provide a description of how person-centered performance data is utilized to develop the QM Plan and its action plan | | |
| Assessment Question | Will your agency be able to describe how person-centered performance data is utilized to develop the QM Plan and its action plan? | | |
| Preparedness Level | ☐ Yes☐ No☐ Unknown/Unsure | | |
| Details | Via Provider Data Submission Tool, residential providers will annually provide a written detailed description of how data is utilized to develop QM plan goals in their organization. Ideally, this should be a written policy that outlines how the organization uses data to improve quality, by way of ongoing data monitoring and analysis and QM planning practices. This policy should include, at a minimum, what data is used from which data sources, frequency of data monitoring, review, and analysis, how opportunities for quality improvement are selected, how person-centered performance data is utilized to develop the QM Plan and its action plan and to measure progress, how performance measures are established, and the title of the person who is generally responsible for the organization's QM plan. Note: Provider submitted documentation resources as of February 15, 2025 Data Source: PBC Residential Provider Data Submission Tool; documentation submission | | |



PERFORMANCE AREA: Quality (continued)

Standard: Demonstrated commitment to continuous quality improvement and demonstrated embracing of building a culture of quality (continuous learning and best use of data to assess progress toward quality management plan (QMP) goals and action plan target objectives) (continued)

| | Primary | Select | Clinically Enhanced |
|-----------------------|---------|--|---------------------|
| Measure | | QI.02.4 At least one member of executive leadership team who has the authority to adopt recommendations and direct QM activities has QM certification | |
| Assessment Question | | Will your agency be able to demonstrate QM certif leadership team who has the authority to adopt re | |
| Preparedness Level | | ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Unknown/Unsure | |
| Details | | Unknown/Unsure Via Provider Data Submission Tool, residential providers will annually report the total number of members of their executive leadership team, including the name(s) and title(s), that have current ODP QM certification and who have the authority to adopt recommendations and direct QM activities. Executive leadership roles include Executive Directors, Chief Executive Officers, Chief Operations Officers, Chief Nursing Officers/Directors of Nursing, Chief Clinical Officers/Directors of Clinical Services, and Quality Management and other Directors who have the authority to adopt recommendations and direct QM activities. Provider reported information will be confirmed using the ODP QM Certified Tracking Spreadsheet maintained by ODP's QM Division and updated after each new QM certification class and at the beginning of each calendar year to capture successful QM recertifications. If there is a discrepancy between provider reported information and ODP's QM Certified Tracking Spreadsheet, the residential provider will be engaged after the tier determination period to reconcile the discrepancy. Data Source: PBC Residential Provider Data Submission Tool with Confirmation from ODP QM Certified Tracking Spreadsheet (maintained by QMD) | |



PERFORMANCE AREA: Quality (continued)

Standard: Demonstrated engagement of and support to families which includes providing adequate and appropriate communication options and maintaining/building relationships.

| | Primary | Select | Clinically Enhanced | |
|--------------|--|--|---|--|
| Measure | QI.03.1 Submission of reporting on policies, procedures, and activities supporting family engagement. | | | |
| Assessment | Will your agency be able to report on policies, pro | cedures, and activities supporting family engageme | ent? | |
| Question | | | | |
| Preparedness | | engagement activities for supporting family engager | | |
| Level | ODP. | activities to support family engagement but can ma | ke improvements in this area and report such to | |
| | | and activities supporting family engagement and ca | | |
| Details | | eport on and submit policies, procedures and activiter's approach to the designation of persons by the i | | |
| | | ual planning. Additionally include provider activities | | |
| | | ot in situations in which the individual indicates other | erwise). | |
| | | Note: Provider submitted documentation as of February 15, 2024 | | |
| Measure | Data Source: PBC Residential Provider Data Submission Tool, documentation submission QI.03.2 Attest to assist in efforts, beginning January 1, 2025, to support ODP data collection on family satisfaction with provider engagement | | | |
| | 145 | | | |
| Assessment | Will your agency commit to supporting ODP in col 1, 2025? | lecting data from families regarding their satisfaction | on with provider engagement beginning January | |
| Question | 1, 2020: | | | |
| Preparedness | ☐ My agency will attest to supporting ODP by collecting satisfaction data from families. | | | |
| Level | My agency will not attest to supporting ODP wi | th collecting satisfaction data from families. | | |
| Details | ODP will survey individuals and families to measure their satisfaction with family engagement. | | | |
| | Data Source: Provider attestation | | | |



Future Measures

This section of the self-assessment tool describes measures that will be implemented in future years. Providers should remain aware of these measures and prepare for the implementation of future measures as described in the process details.

Future measures and their corresponding elements are subject to change from the date of publication of this document.

| | Primary | Select | Clinically Enhanced |
|-----------------------|---------|--|---|
| Measure Assessment | | RM-IM.01.4 Timely finalization of incidents is demonstrated by: At least 95% of all incidents must be finalized by the due date, and the due date may only exceed 30 days in no more than 5% of those incidents (due dates may exceed 30 days when the provider has notified the Department in writing that an extension is necessary and the reason for the extension). Is your agency operating and reporting such that at least 95% of all incidents are finalized by the | |
| Question | | due date, which exceeds 30 days in no more than | |
| Preparedness Level | | ☐ Yes☐ No☐ Unknown/Unsure | |
| Details | | An extract of incident management data is pulled the time period specified for Providers rendering Tableau Incident Overview Dashboard. 2. Within the extract, each incident entered by the designation on the Final Section document based per timeliness requirements outlined in PA Code (00-21-02). 3. At the MPI level, the number of incidents report calendar year is calculated using the data element incidents with a status of "Open" or "Closed" are it excluded. 4. At the MPI level, the number of incidents report year is calculated using the "Discovery Date." Only included, and incidents with a status of "Deleted" 5. Each MPI now has been associated with the number of calendar year (total number of incidents reported that had a compliant Final Section document). | Provider Type 52 services using ODP's internal e Provider is assigned a "Compliant" or "Late" d on an internal calculation conducted within EIM 6100.404 A and Incident Management Bulletin ted "Compliant" per Provider during the specified on "Final Section Compliance Status". Only included. Incidents with a status of "Deleted" are ted per Provider during the specified calendar of incidents with a status of "Open" or "Closed" are are excluded. Incidents that had a Compliant Final incidents they have entered for the associated |



| | 6. A percentage of incidents finalized timely is calculated per MPI: [NUMBER OF INCIDENTS WITH TIMELY FINALIZATION] / [TOTAL NUMBER OF INCIDENTS REPORTED] * 100 = PERCENTAGE |
|--|---|
| | INCIDENTS FINALIZED TIMELY |
| | EXAMPLE: |
| | MPI 123456789: [5 INCIDENTS WITH TIMELY FINALIZATION] / [10 TOTAL INCIDENTS REPORTED] |
| | * 100 = 50% INCIDENTS FINALIZED TIMELY |
| | Note: An incident report is considered finalized when the reporting entity submits the final section |
| | of the incident report. Incident finalization timelines are only impacted by the reporting entity. |
| | Incident reports are considered closed when final section is approved by ODP. |
| | Note: Providers are encouraged to use EIM dashboards to support Incident Management data |
| | collection and analysis. |
| | |
| | THIS MEASURE WILL NOT BE IMPLEMENTED UNTIL FY 2027-2028 USING CALENDAR YEAR 2026 |
| | DATA. |

| | Primary | Select | Clinically Enhanced |
|---------------------|---|--------------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Measure | CI.01.1 NCI-IDD CI-1: Social Connectedr | ess (The proportion of people who re | port that they do not feel lonely) |
| Assessment Question | Is your agency prepared to support data collection efforts through Enterprise Case Management? | | |
| Preparedness Level | ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Unknown/Unsure | | |
| Details | By way of an ECM survey, the following National Core Indicators In-Person Survey (NCI-IPS) question will be asked of individuals being served by the provider: Do you ever feel lonely? [NCI-IDD CI-1: Social Connectedness (The proportion of people who report that they do not feel lonely)]. Response options are "Yes, often," "Sometimes," and "No." The "Yes, often" responses are what get reported in the rates in the NCI reports. This is a scenario where low numbers are better. Note: Future measure. Implementation date TBD. Data Source: ECM | | |



| | Primary | Select | Clinically Enhanced | |
|---------------------|---|--------|---------------------|--|
| Measure | CI.01.2 NCI-IDD PCP-5: Satisfaction with Community Inclusion Scale (The proportion of people who report satisfaction with the level of participation in community inclusion activities) | | | |
| Assessment Question | Is your agency prepared to support data collection efforts through Enterprise Case Management? | | | |
| Preparedness Level | ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Unknown/Unsure | | | |
| Details | By way of an ECM survey, the following National Core Indicators In-Person Survey (NCI-IPS) questions will be asked of individuals being served by the provider [NCI-IDD PCP-5: Satisfaction with Community Inclusion Scale (The proportion of people who report satisfaction with the level of participation in community inclusion activities)]: | | | |
| | Scale includes results of these 4 NCI-IPS questions: -Person is satisfied with how often they went out shopping in the past month. | | | |
| | -Person is satisfied with how often they went out snopping in the past month. | | | |
| | -Person is satisfied with how often they went to a restaurant or coffee shop in the past month. | | | |
| | -Person is satisfied with how often they went to a religious service or spiritual practice in the past month. | | | |
| | Scales are used to combine responses from multiple similar questions into one variable to measure an overarching concept. To create a scale, statistical tests are required. | | | |
| | Note: Future measure. Implementation date TBD. | | | |
| | Data Source: ECM | | | |

| | Primary | Select | Clinically Enhanced |
|---------------------|---------|--|---------------------|
| Measure | | EMP.XX.XX Combined percentage of working age individuals that are receiving Career Assessment or Job Finding services through ODP or Office of Vocational Rehabilitation (OVR) AND Competitively employed in integrated settings (working age participants only) must meet or exceed 19% for NG1-2 and 4% for NG3 or greater | |
| Assessment Question | | Will you be able to meet established employment thresholds based on the measure? | |
| Preparedness Level | | ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Unknown/Unsure | |



| Details | | By way of Employment Dashboard and HCSIS, ODP will obtain percentage of working age individuals that receive Career Assessment or Job Finding services through ODP as well as competitively employed in integrated settings by Needs Group. ODP will collaborate with OVR to obtain information on individuals receiving Career Assessment or Job Finding services through OVR. Note: Future measure. Implementation date TBD. Data Source: HCSIS, SC Monitoring tool, CWDS (OVR Data) | |
|---------------------|---------|--|---|
| | Primary | Select | Clinically Enhanced |
| Measure | | RM-HRS.XX.XX Collect data in CY2 Ambulatory Care) | 2025 HEDIS measure (AAP — Adults' Access to Preventative/ |
| Assessment Question | | Is your agency familiar with and following HEDIS measures related to Adult's Access to Preventative/Ambulatory Care? | |
| Preparedness Level | | ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Unknown/Unsure | |
| Details | | □ No | |



| | Note: Future measure. Implementation date TBD. | | |
|--|--|--|--|
| | Data Source: Medicaid and Medicare claim data | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

| | Primary | Select | Clinically Enhanced |
|---------------------|---------|--|---------------------|
| Measure | | CN-C.XX.XX Report names and authors of clinical assessments currently in use, the methodology for determining in what circumstances specific assessments are to be implemented, and the means by which adequate follow-up from completed assessments is assured. | |
| Assessment Question | | Will your agency be able to report information related to assessments? | |
| Preparedness Level | | ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Unknown/Unsure | |
| Details | | | ion date TBD. |

| | Primary | Select | Clinically Enhanced |
|---------------------|---------|--------|--|
| Measure | | | CN-DD/Bx.XX.XX Documentation of intensive (courses, conferences) specialized training relative to individual diagnosis (Prader-Willi syndrome, Fetal Alcohol Syndrome, ASD, Borderline Personality Disorder, Pica etc.) |
| Assessment Question | | | Will your agency be able to submit documentation of specialized training in use to meet the needs of individuals supported? |
| Preparedness Level | | | ☐ Yes☐ No☐ Unknown/Unsure |
| Details | | | Via the provider survey, agencies will submit documentation of specialized training relative to individual diagnoses which has been provided to teams working with individuals affected by these diagnoses. Survey responses will include specific trainings provided, and number of staff trained. Note: Future measure. Implementation date TBD. Data Source: Provider submission tool |